

Marc Abrams  
Joseph T. Baio  
Mary Eaton  
Paul Shalhoub  
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP  
787 Seventh Avenue  
New York, NY 10019  
Telephone: (212) 728-8000  
Facsimile: (212) 728-8111

*Attorneys for Monarch Alternative Capital LP and  
Stonehill Capital Management LLC, in their capacity  
as investment advisors to certain funds,  
CQS ABS Master Fund Limited and  
CQS ABS Alpha Master Fund Limited*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11
	:
Residential Capital, LLC, <i>et al.</i> ,	: 12-12020 (MG)
	:
	:
Debtors.	: Jointly Administered
-----X	

**RESERVATION OF RIGHTS WITH RESPECT  
TO DEBTORS’ MOTION FOR AN ORDER UNDER  
BANKRUPTCY CODE SECTIONS 105(A) AND 363(B)  
AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO ENTER INTO AND  
PERFORM UNDER A PLAN SUPPORT AGREEMENT  
WITH ALLY FINANCIAL INC., THE CREDITORS’  
COMMITTEE, AND CERTAIN CONSENTING CLAIMANTS**

Monarch Alternative Capital LP and Stonehill Capital Management LLC, each in its capacity as investment advisor to certain funds, CQS ABS Master Fund Limited and CQS ABS Alpha Master Fund Limited (collectively, the “**Investors**”), hereby submit this reservation of rights (the “**Reservation of Rights**”) to certain relief requested by the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (the “**Debtors**”) in the Debtors’ Motion for an Order Under Bankruptcy Code Sections 105( a) and 363(b) Authorizing the Debtors to Enter Into and Perform

Under a Plan Support Agreement (“**PSA**”) with Ally Financial Inc., the Creditors’ Committee, and Certain Consenting Claimants (the “**PSA Motion**”). In support of this Reservation of Rights, the Investors respectfully state as follows:

**RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

1. In connection with the PSA Motion, the Debtors seek certain findings of fact (the “**Proposed PSA Findings**”) that: (i) the PSA, including the transactions contemplated therein (which include the FGIC Settlement Agreement),<sup>1</sup> are in the best interests of the Debtors’ estates, their creditors, the Institutional Investors, the investors in each RMBS Trust and each such RMBS Trust, and the RMBS Trustees, as a compromise of each RMBS Trusts’ asserted claims against the Debtors; (ii) the RMBS Trustees acted reasonably, in good faith and in the best interests of the Institutional Investors, the investors in each RMBS Trust and each such RMBS Trust in agreeing to the PSA; and (iii) notice of the RMBS Settlement, the FGIC Settlement Agreement, and the PSA, including the RMBS Trustees’ Notice, was sufficient and effective in satisfaction of federal and state due process requirements and other applicable law to put the parties in interest in these Chapter 11 cases and others, including the Institutional Investors and the investors in each RMBS Trust, on notice of the PSA, the RMBS Settlement, and the FGIC Settlement Agreement. The Debtors also seek similar findings with respect to the Debtors’ Motion Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019 for Approval of the Settlement Agreement Among the Debtors, FGIC, the FGIC Trustees and Certain Institutional Investors (the “**9019 Motion**”), in connection with the FGIC Settlement Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby (the “**Proposed 9019 Findings**”).

---

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings given them in the PSA Motion.

2. The Investors intend to object to the 9019 Motion and the Proposed 9019 Findings because, among other reasons, the Investors do not believe that the FGIC Settlement Agreement is in the best interests of the RMBS Trusts that were “wrapped” by FGIC or the investors in each such RMBS Trust, or that the applicable RMBS Trustees acted reasonably, in good faith or in the best interests of the applicable RMBS Trust or the investors in each such RMBS Trust in agreeing to the FGIC Settlement Agreement.<sup>2</sup>

3. To address concerns of the Investors that the Proposed PSA Findings could have a potential preclusive effect on the Investors’ ability to contest the similar Proposed 9019 Findings, and could have preclusive effect in the state rehabilitation court or other proceedings, the Debtors proposed to include the following language in the order approving the PSA Motion:

Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, including without limitation, ordering paragraph 3 and 4 above, other than with respect to the parties to the Agreement, nothing herein shall (i) prejudice any party in interest’s rights to fully prosecute an objection with respect to any proposed disclosure statement or chapter 11 plan or any other motion, including the motion to approve the FGIC Settlement Agreement, that seeks to effectuate the terms of the Agreement, and (ii) be deemed to constitute any finding of fact or conclusion of law in connection with the approval or confirmation of, as applicable, any disclosure statement, chapter 11 plan or other motion, including the motion to approve the FGIC Settlement Agreement, that seeks to effectuate the terms of the Agreement.

4. Based upon the Debtors’ agreement to insert such language,<sup>3</sup> the representations made by the Debtors and the RMBS Trustees on the record of the June 17, 2013 status

---

<sup>2</sup> The Debtors and the Investors are discussing the terms of an agreed order for the conduct of discovery, the filing of objections and the scheduling of a hearing related to the 9019 Motion, and the Investors (with the Debtors’ agreement) therefore are not objecting to the 9019 Motion by the noticed objection deadline of June 19, 2013. Such agreed order shall apply to the Investors and other similarly situated investors who Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP may represent in addition to the Investors. The Investors reserve the right to object to the 9019 Motion.

<sup>3</sup> The proposed language was provided to the Investors by the Debtors. However, the Investors believe that phrases (i) and (ii) should appropriately be separated by an “or” rather than an “and” to properly convey the intended meaning.

9760985.5

conference before this Court, and the statements of the Court at the conference,<sup>4</sup> the Investors are not objecting to the PSA Motion. The Investors nevertheless reserve all rights to object to the 9019 Motion and approval of the FGIC Settlement Agreement in the FGIC state rehabilitation proceeding on any and all grounds (including that the Proposed PSA Findings have no effect in such proceedings as agreed on the record of the June 17<sup>th</sup> conference), and to take such other and further action in any court of competent jurisdiction as may be appropriate with respect to the entry into the FGIC Settlement Agreement by the RMBS Trustees.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 19, 2013

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP  
Attorneys for Monarch Alternative Capital LP and  
Stonehill Capital Management LLC, each in its  
capacity as investment advisor to certain funds,  
CQS ABS Master Fund Limited and  
CQS ABS Alpha Master Fund Limited

By: /s/ Paul V. Shalhoub  
Marc Abrams  
Joseph T. Baio  
Mary Eaton  
Paul Shalhoub

787 Seventh Avenue  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 728-8000

---

<sup>4</sup> A copy of the conference transcript is annexed hereto as Exhibit A.

**EXHIBIT A**

**In Re:**

*RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.*

*Case No. 12-12020-mg*

---

*June 17, 2013*

---

*eScribers, LLC*

*(973) 406-2250*

*operations@escribers.net*

*www.escribers.net*

*To purchase copies of this transcript, please contact us by phone or email*



Min-U-Script® with Word Index

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Case No. 12-12020-mg

- - - - -x

In the Matter of:

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,

Debtors.

- - - - -x

United States Bankruptcy Court  
One Bowling Green  
New York, New York

June 17, 2013  
9:04 AM

B E F O R E:  
HON. MARTIN GLENN  
U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Discovery Dispute Related to PSA

Transcribed by: Sharona Shapiro  
eScribers, LLC  
700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607  
New York, NY 10040  
(973)406-2250  
operations@escribers.net

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

A P P E A R A N C E S :

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

Attorneys for Debtors  
1290 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10104

BY: GARY S. LEE, ESQ.  
JOSEPH A. LAWRENCE, ESQ.  
CHARLES L. KERR, ESQ.

KRAMER, LEVIN, NAFTALIS & FRANKEL, LLP

Attorneys for Official Creditors' Committee  
1177 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036

BY: PHILIP S. KAUFMAN, ESQ.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

ALLEN & OVERY LLP

Attorneys for HSBC BANK USA N.A. as Trustee

1221 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10020

BY: JOHN KIBLER, ESQ.

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP

Attorneys for Stonehill Capital Management LLC and

Monarch Alternative Capital LP

787 Seventh Avenue

New York, NY 10019

BY: MARC ABRAMS, ESQ.

MARY EATON, ESQ.

JOSEPH T. BAIO, ESQ.

EMMA J. JAMES, ESQ.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

JONES DAY  
Attorneys for FGIC  
222 East 41st Street  
New York, NY 10017  
BY: HOWARD F. SIDMAN, ESQ.

ALSTON & BIRD LLP  
Attorneys for Wells Fargo Bank N.A.  
1201 West Peachtree Street  
Suite 4200  
Atlanta, GA 30309  
BY: JOHN C. WEITNAUER, ESQ.  
MICHAEL E. JOHNSON, ESQ.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
Attorneys for Deutsche Bank  
101 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10178  
BY: JAMES L. GARRITY, JR., ESQ.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MAYER BROWN LLP  
Attorneys for Ally Bank  
1675 Broadway  
New York, NY 10019

BY: MICHAEL MARTINEZ, ESQ.

SEWARD & KISSEL LLP  
Attorneys for US Bank as RMBS Trustee  
One Battery Park Plaza  
New York, NY 10004

BY: DALE C. CHRISTENSEN JR., ESQ.  
ARLENE R. ALVES, ESQ.

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.

3 All right, we're here on Residential Capital number  
4 12-12020.

5 MR. KERR: Good morning, Your Honor; Charles Kerr of  
6 Morrison & Foerster on behalf of the debtors.

7 Your Honor, we're here to follow up on the phone  
8 conference we had Friday afternoon regarding discovery and  
9 other issues related to the motion to approve the plan support  
10 agreement. I think Your Honor has received a letter from our  
11 firm over the weeks --

12 THE COURT: I read both letters.

13 MR. KERR: Okay, Your Honor. And therefore, I just --  
14 I think they help crystallize the issue, but I want to give you  
15 just the background to show you what the issues are here we are  
16 hearing this morning.

17 Monarch and Stonehill's counsel, in their letters,  
18 make clear they're not creditors of the debtor; they filed no  
19 claims to this court; and their dispute is solely with, I  
20 think, the amount of the commutation. That's the substantive  
21 issue that they're most concerned about.

22 And as Your Honor noted on Friday, that commutation  
23 issue is something that is going to be addressed in the  
24 rehabilitation court. It is not something that the debtor was  
25 involved in negotiating and deciding upon, whatever. And

1 therefore, that issue will be heard before Justice, I think,  
2 Ling-Cohan on August 6th in New York State Court.

3 They -- in the conference on Friday, I think they  
4 acknowledged, Your Honor, that their ability to challenge that  
5 commutation payment in state court is extremely limited. So  
6 they decided to come to this court and try to challenge both --  
7 challenge here the approval of the plan support agreement. And  
8 we think, Your Honor, for the reasons we discussed on Friday  
9 and put in our letter, that effort is misplaced.

10 We believe that, if anything, their issues that they  
11 are raising come closest to approval of the FGIC settlement  
12 which is not on for June 26th. And they, we believe, will have  
13 an opportunity at that time to raise whatever issues they want  
14 to raise and protect their interest. But what they've done  
15 here, Your Honor, is they've come in and sought extremely broad  
16 discovery in attempting to, in our view, disrupt -- with all  
17 due respect -- but disrupt the schedule that had been set back  
18 on May 29th in the conference with Your Honor to get discovery  
19 done, the very limited discovery necessary for the PSA motion.

20 So let me tell you what we've been able to do since  
21 Friday night to crystallize it down. We had proposed to them  
22 language to be added to the draft PSA order that we thought  
23 would protect them and make clear that they would, by going  
24 through the -- having the PSA motion approved would not prevent  
25 them in any way from challenging the FGIC settlement motion as

1 well, which is really where I think these issues lie. They  
2 rejected that language; they came up with other language that  
3 was, frankly, very broad. The trustees were not willing to do  
4 that; we were not willing to do that.

5 We then went on to talk about discovery. We've  
6 agreed -- in a conference call we had on Friday night, we  
7 thought we had agreed to the identity of what deponents would  
8 be questioned here. They were going to depose four trustee  
9 declarants. We had offered up Mr. Kruger who is a CRO,  
10 although frankly, Your Honor, as I'll tell you in a moment, I  
11 don't understand why Mr. Kruger's being deposed here at all. I  
12 think that's just -- that has nothing to do with the issues  
13 that they've highlighted in their letter.

14 They had limited the document request that they had  
15 identified. We have agreed to proceed with a confidentiality  
16 order. We've tried to negotiate. It hasn't been finalized but  
17 we've agreed in the interim to proceed as all documents that  
18 would be produced would be an attorneys'-eyes-only basis.

19 Yesterday, Your Honor, we got a letter -- we got an  
20 e-mail from Monarch's counsel which I think they reiterated in  
21 their letter to you, that they now, despite what we agreed to  
22 Friday evening about only deposing the declarants, the trustees  
23 and Mr. Kruger, if necessary, they now want to do additional  
24 depositions, 30(b)(6) depositions, of FGIC and several other  
25 parties including some of the professionals that were used by

1 the trustees and FGIC in analyzing their deal -- whatever their  
2 deal was. They also indicated in their e-mail to us that  
3 they're going to try -- they're going to come before Your Honor  
4 to extend the schedule. I'm not exactly sure what that means,  
5 but we are very concerned.

6 THE COURT: We are not extending the schedule.

7 MR. KERR: Okay. Well, that --

8 THE COURT: Full stop.

9 MR. KERR: Okay. So, Your Honor, what we're very  
10 concerned about is that this is -- this long negotiated effort  
11 to come up with a plan support agreement, which was part of the  
12 mediation with Judge Peck involving all these parties, is now  
13 going to be disrupted by this process where we think, in  
14 fairness, Monarch and Stonehill's real concerns are, can be,  
15 and should be addressed to either the rehabilitation court or  
16 on the motion to approve the FGIC settlement.

17 THE COURT: Let me ask you, what are the issues going  
18 to be for this Court in the 9019 of the FGIC settlement?

19 MR. KERR: I think the issue for this Court, again, is  
20 not whether the commutation amount is correct; it's simply  
21 whether or not the amount of allowed claim that the debtors  
22 have agreed to, with FGIC as part of that overall settlement in  
23 the mediation, is an appropriate allowed claim, Your Honor. So  
24 it's a step removed from what I think is their real issue. So  
25 even there -- that's why I said, if any motion even touches on

1 it, it's perhaps the FGIC settlement, but even there, that is  
2 not what this Court's going to be asked to do and I don't think  
3 this Court can do.

4 THE COURT: What is the state court asked to do with  
5 respect to the FGIC settlement?

6 MR. KERR: It is my understanding that they're to  
7 approve the FGIC settlement, but I believe that there -- that  
8 is where that court will determine whether the commutation  
9 payment, which is simply the payment by which FGIC is being --

10 THE COURT: Well, if I understand it correctly, the  
11 settlement would cap the amount that FGIC would have to pay. I  
12 forget what the dollar amount is, but --

13 MR. KERR: 253 million dollars.

14 THE COURT: Yeah, it would -- that's essentially  
15 what -- or certainly an important part of what the FGIC  
16 settlement would do, right?

17 MR. KERR: That is correct, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: All right. And I would think that the  
19 rehabilitation court, in determining whether or not to approve  
20 the settlement, would hear from any -- I'm not sure exactly  
21 what label applies to the investors, whether they're  
22 beneficiaries of the insurance or what. If they go into the  
23 rehabilitation court and object to the settlement because they  
24 think that FGIC is not paying enough, that -- I mean, that's  
25 what -- initially that's what I really wanted to focus on, in

1 part, because it seemed to me that's where that issue comes  
2 front and center is in the rehabilitation court.

3 MR. KERR: I think Your Honor has it absolutely  
4 correct; that is where that issue is going to be resolved.  
5 Again, in the FGIC settlement, although the payment from FGIC  
6 to the trustees is an underlying assumption of the settlement,  
7 the settlement itself, and what the issue before Your Honor, in  
8 respect of the 9019, is whether or not the amount of the  
9 allowed claim agreed to by the debtor and FGIC is appropriate.

10 And so I think you're absolutely right, Your Honor,  
11 that the issues that their letter they sent to the Court  
12 indicates they're most concerned about should be and will be  
13 addressed in the rehabilitation court, and especially with  
14 respect to the motion to support to prove the plan support  
15 agreement is not the vehicle to do that.

16 Now, they've indicated that what -- their attempt to  
17 come into here is through the findings that are in the proposed  
18 order. But those findings simply are the findings that are  
19 necessary and were part of the overall negotiations by the  
20 trustee to ensure that they could enter into the plan support  
21 agreement.

22 THE COURT: Well, but I can certainly understand the  
23 investor's concern if the findings by this Court in connection  
24 with the PSA approval, if it gets approved, would have a  
25 preclusive effect in state court, for example, when they go in,

1 if they in fact challenge the settlement in the rehabilitation  
2 court.

3 So what's the -- and I read your proposed language and  
4 I read their proposed language. What is your view about the  
5 preclusive effect if this Court enters the requested -- you  
6 know, approves the PSA and enters the requested findings? What  
7 is the preclusive effect on the FGIC court, the rehabilitation  
8 court, or on this court in connection with the 9019?

9 MR. KERR: I think that with the added language we had  
10 suggested, the Court will be able to address on the 9019  
11 motion. I think -- because we're going to ask you again to  
12 make specific findings with respect to that.

13 THE COURT: Yeah.

14 MR. KERR: On the rehabilitation court, Your Honor,  
15 I'm going to have to turn to the trustees on that. We're not  
16 involved with that. I must say, I haven't really walked  
17 through that all together. Again, the debtor is removed from  
18 all that process and we --

19 THE COURT: Not completely, really.

20 MR. KERR: Not completely, Your Honor --

21 THE COURT: I mean, you're not removed --

22 MR. KERR: -- but --

23 THE COURT: You're not removed from the process.

24 MR. KERR: Not -- and I don't mean to say we're  
25 removed, Your Honor, but that is not something -- I think I'd

1 turn to the trustees to specifically state what --

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MR. KERR: -- the view of that is.

4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

5 MR. KERR: Thank you.

6 THE COURT: Let me hear from the trustee's counsel and  
7 then I'll hear from the investor's counsel.

8 MR. JOHNSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael  
9 Johnson from Alston & Bird, and we represent Wells Fargo Bank,  
10 which is one of the trustees that's involved both in the ResCap  
11 proceeding as well as in the FGIC rehabilitation proceeding.

12 I just want to say at the outset, from the trustee's  
13 perspective, we do want to emphasize that even though the  
14 investors are not creditors per se in this court, we welcome  
15 their participation in this process, and that's part of the  
16 reason why I threw out --

17 THE COURT: They might be better off not participating

18 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah.

19 THE COURT: -- frankly. I mean, you know, because the  
20 rules of that preclusion, if you've had a full and fair  
21 opportunity to -- to be heard, you know, it -- so it wouldn't  
22 surprise me if they argue no, they don't have standing here and  
23 they'll fight it out somewhere else but --

24 MR. JOHNSON: Well, Your Honor, that's exactly -- when  
25 we say --

1 THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, let me ask you this.

2 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

3 THE COURT: How many of the trusts are wrapped with  
4 FGIC insurance?

5 MR. JOHNSON: Wrapped with FGIC insurance?

6 THE COURT: Yes, yes, yes.

7 MR. JOHNSON: I don't know the aggregate number. I  
8 could tell you, you know, for Wells Fargo -- do we know the --

9 MR. WEITNAUER: It's forty-seven in all.

10 MR. JOHNSON: That's right. Yeah, there -- thank you.

11 There are forty-seven in all, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Forty-seven, and that's out of what, 500  
13 and --

14 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah.

15 THE COURT: What's the total?

16 MR. JOHNSON: There are several hundred trusts in  
17 total.

18 THE COURT: Oh.

19 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, several hundred trusts in total  
20 is --

21 THE COURT: Come on up. I just --

22 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah.

23 THE COURT: I've been trying to get a full  
24 appreciation of the -- what the --

25 MR. WEITNAUER: Your Honor, Kit Weitnauer from Alston

1 & Bird. The numbers do move around. You had the 392 --

2 THE COURT: Right.

3 MR. WEITNAUER: -- the original settling trust.

4 THE COURT: And then there were additional --

5 MR. WEITNAUER: There's another several hundred of so-  
6 called nonsettling trusts that are part of the initial trust  
7 under the plan.

8 THE COURT: So what's the totals?

9 MR. WEITNAUER: And so I think -- wait. There's one  
10 other --

11 THE COURT: Somehow I had 500 or so in my --

12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- one other group which in --  
13 I think you're almost at 900 when you consider all the trusts  
14 that have some role in the plan.

15 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks very much.

16 All right. Go ahead, Mr. Johnson.

17 MR. JOHNSON: I was just saying, Your Honor, in terms  
18 of the process, I think Your Honor has hit it on -- the nail on  
19 the head. When we say "involvement in the process", we think  
20 that there are appropriate stages where, if there is a concern  
21 about the commutation payment in respect to the FGIC  
22 settlement, the PSA motion and PSA hearing are not the  
23 appropriate forum for that. There are, of course, the two  
24 upcoming. There's one proceeding in this court which will be  
25 the FGIC settlement 9019 hearing, and then there is the

1 proceeding that we've talked about earlier, Your Honor, in the  
2 rehabilitation court itself.

3 And Your Honor, there was a question, I think, that  
4 you posed as to what the -- what the process is going to be  
5 there and what effect will be had -- you know, what effect the  
6 proceeding in this court would have on what's going to happen  
7 in that state court proceeding.

8 Your Honor, with the language that's been recommended  
9 by the debtors in their letter, I think there is a carve-out to  
10 insure that the objectants will have an opportunity in the  
11 court -- in the state court to be heard along with anybody  
12 else, Your Honor, who wants to be heard on the terms of the  
13 FGIC commutation.

14 One of the things that the trustees and the FGIC's  
15 rehabilitator insisted upon in connection with the FGIC  
16 settlement agreement was that notice be given. And in fact,  
17 the FGIC rehabilitator actually had an opportunity to be heard  
18 on the substance of that notice that went out to the holders in  
19 the FGIC-wrapped trust to make sure that in the context again  
20 of that state court proceeding there was going to be full and  
21 fair opportunity to be heard.

22 I believe, Your Honor, the deadline for the objectants  
23 here and anyone else who has an interest in that settlement  
24 agreement to object in the settlement court is July 3rd, so  
25 there's still ample opportunity for them to prepare an

1 objection, and then there is a hearing that's scheduled in the  
2 state court in August.

3 THE COURT: August 6.

4 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, correct, Your Honor.

5 But I think with the findings -- the modified findings  
6 that have been proposed by the debtors, it insures that what  
7 happens here on June 26th, does not have preclusive effect on  
8 what happens on August 6th in the state court.

9 Your Honor, if I might be heard, there --

10 THE COURT: Just a minute. I want to make a note to  
11 myself, okay?

12 Go ahead, Mr. Johnson.

13 MR. JOHNSON: On the specifics, if we are to proceed  
14 with the discovery on the current schedule in connection with  
15 the PSA motion -- and again, we think this is the wrong forum.  
16 There are two other opportunities where these objectants can be  
17 heard on what they think is wrong with the substance of the  
18 commutation payment. But if it's to occur this week, Your  
19 Honor, we do have a problem with what appears to be -- even  
20 within the context of this limited discovery this week and  
21 effort now being made by the objectants to double-dip on  
22 discovery.

23 We had thought, Your Honor, because of a Friday  
24 evening call that we had among the parties, we had agreed as to  
25 who the deponents would be, and we received -- did receive

1 notice just yesterday that in addition to hearing from the  
2 trustee's declarants, that is those witnesses who have  
3 submitted now to this court declarations in support of the PSA,  
4 they -- the objectants also now want to do 30(b)(6)  
5 depositions. Your Honor, we think that's entirely  
6 inappropriate and --

7 THE COURT: Why. Tell me why.

8 MR. JOHNSON: Because Your Honor, there -- it's  
9 essentially going to be double-dipping. The issues that they  
10 are going to cover or that are truly relevant to the PSA motion  
11 itself would be covered necessarily by the declarants who put  
12 in the declarations in support of the PSA motions.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, let me ask you, what do you  
14 believe the -- I am being asked to decide on June 26th insofar  
15 as the FGIC-wrapped trusts are concerned?

16 MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, you are not being asked,  
17 from what I understand it, to opine or to give your imprimatur  
18 of approval to the substance of the commutation payment. What  
19 is more important from the perspective of the trustees, Your  
20 Honor, is, of course, the ruling that moving forward on the  
21 terms of the PSA is in the best interest of the estates as well  
22 as in the best interests of the holders, but it is not  
23 necessarily, Your Honor, particularly with the carve-out that's  
24 been proposed by --

25 THE COURT: I mean, look, it can be -- because this is

1 a staged process in two different courts, you know,  
2 hypothetically -- I mean, I still -- I have to hear -- hold the  
3 hearing and I have to -- there are others that may come up as  
4 well with respect to the PSA. But if what the trustees are  
5 asking is for me to determine in the first instance that they  
6 acted in good faith in negotiating and entering into a  
7 settlement, which may or may not be approved by the court and  
8 may or may not be approved by the rehabilitation court, that  
9 it's the threshold determination that, yes, for purposes of the  
10 PSA, they acted in good faith in negotiating and entering into  
11 the agreement and will get to -- this court and the  
12 rehabilitation court will get to the issue of whether the  
13 settlement should be approved applying -- you know, I'm not  
14 sure what the standard is in state court. I've got a -- I know  
15 what the standard is here.

16 You know, that's one thing, but if you're looking for  
17 this order to carry additional baggage with it that would have  
18 preclusive effect on me, you know, you're arguing as law of the  
19 case, Judge, you already approved the PSA, in deciding whether  
20 or not to approve the 9019, and then you go into state court  
21 and argue before Judge Ling-Cohan that, Judge, I don't know how  
22 there can be preclusive effect in state court; it's not a final  
23 order, period. But -- so, you know -- but that's for the state  
24 court judge to decide. But I'm not -- it wouldn't be clear to  
25 me how it could have a preclusive effect anyway. But, I mean,

1 are you going to go into state court and argue, Judge, Judge  
2 Glenn already decided in proving the PSA that, you know, good  
3 faith finding therefore that's no longer -- that's not a  
4 relevant issue for you.

5 MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, I don't think that is what  
6 the trustees would intend, particularly with the language that  
7 has been proposed. I mean, the language that's been proposed  
8 by the debtors would carve out, in essence, the day in court  
9 that these objectants seek to have in the state court such that  
10 we could not run in with your order, assuming Your Honor were  
11 to enter an order, along the lines suggested in the proposed  
12 order for the debtors. We wouldn't be running in with that  
13 order to say, you know, Judge Ling-Cohan, it's already been  
14 decided, you cannot go over these issues again; that would not  
15 be -- that would not be what the trustees would do or, I  
16 think, Your Honor, could do, based on, again, the revised  
17 proposed findings that are in the debtor's letter from this  
18 weekend.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Let me hear from the investor's  
20 counsel.

21 MR. BAIIO: Good morning, Your Honor. Joseph Baio from  
22 Willkie Farr and Gallagher --

23 THE COURT: Good morning.

24 MR. BAIIO: -- on behalf of Monarch Alternative Capital  
25 and Stonehill Capital Management. Your Honor, I believe that

1 what you have focused on is why we are here. And no offense,  
2 we would rather not be here.

3 THE COURT: So why don't you not be here? I mean,  
4 that's --

5 MR. BAIIO: Because there will be findings, Your Honor,  
6 that say that by entering into the PSA the trustees not only  
7 acted in good faith but acted in our best interests, and we  
8 think that's demonstrably false. We need discovery in order to  
9 be able to demonstrate it. But for a court to have concluded  
10 that in entering into this -- the PSA and eventually the  
11 settlement, they acted in good faith, something that they want  
12 in order to protect themselves. That's what they say in their  
13 own submission. So they want to be able to insulate themselves  
14 from our claims --

15 THE COURT: Mr. Baio, the real issue to me is what --  
16 no one seems to be disputing, at this stage, that you have the  
17 right to oppose the 9019 here and approval of the settlement in  
18 the rehabilitation court. I mean, isn't that the time to be  
19 focusing on the issues that you're raising now?

20 MR. BAIIO: But by that time, Your Honor, there will  
21 have been a finding by this Court that by the trustees'  
22 entering into the PSA, they acted in good faith. And much more  
23 significantly for us, they acted in our best interest. That  
24 will have already been determined in an adjudication before  
25 Your Honor on an extremely accelerated basis where we still --

1 we don't' have a single document from anyone on that subject.

2 We were not a party to the mediation.

3 THE COURT: Well, you knew about it. Come on. You've  
4 been --

5 MR. BAIIO: We tried --

6 THE COURT: -- sitting back on the sideline for a  
7 while here.

8 MR. BAIIO: Not really, Your Honor. We tried to get  
9 in; we were unable to get into the mediation. We did have a --

10 THE COURT: Well, the claims that are being settled  
11 belong to the trustee; they don't belong to you.

12 MR. BAIIO: I understand. We're just talking about the  
13 findings.

14 THE COURT: They don't belong to you.

15 MR. BAIIO: We understand that, Your Honor. We really  
16 are not objecting to that. We are objecting to the findings  
17 that will preclude us in subsequent proceedings --

18 THE COURT: What are they going to preclude -- I'm  
19 reading, I'm looking at the language that the debtors have  
20 proposed and when I look at that language, I don't see how you  
21 would be precluded. It says, "Nothing herein shall prejudice  
22 any party-in-interest's rights to fully prosecute an objection  
23 with respect to any proposed disclosure statement or Chapter 11  
24 plan or any other motion including a motion to approve the FGIC  
25 settlement agreement." And it goes on from there and it

1 repeats again, "Including the motion to approve the FGIC  
2 settlement agreement."

3 How are you adversely affected if the Court enters, if  
4 this language is added to any order, assuming -- and it is not  
5 a foregone conclusion -- assuming that the Court approves the  
6 PSA, if the language proposed by the debtors and apparently  
7 accepted by the trustees, as Mr. Johnson indicated, how are you  
8 adversely affected by that?

9 MR. BAIIO: Because the previous finding, Your Honor,  
10 will be by this Court by entering into the PSA, the trustees  
11 have acted in our best interest. That will have already been  
12 decided. At the 9019, it is not clear that we can relitigate  
13 that issue. That is, we want to be able to come in somewhere  
14 and say that the trustees did not fulfill their duties to us;  
15 it was not in our best interest.

16 So if there is already a finding that what they did  
17 was in our best interest, the opportunity to come in and object  
18 on the 9019 on some other basis, because there will have  
19 already been a determination that they acted in our best  
20 interest, eviscerates the heart of what we are saying is the  
21 problem.

22 Now, I think that's -- because if we are then  
23 litigating for the first time at the 9019, whether our rights  
24 have impaired by the trustees --

25 THE COURT: You can argue that in state court, I take

1 it, right?

2 MR. BAIIO: Well, we won't be able to argue it anyway  
3 after June 26th --

4 THE COURT: Oh, really?

5 MR. BAIIO: -- if Your Honor makes those findings?

6 THE COURT: You're not going to be able to argue it in  
7 state court?

8 MR. BAIIO: I hope -- I don't know. I think that the  
9 state court judge will say you had a bankruptcy court hearing,  
10 you had an opportunity to take discovery, and there was a  
11 ruling by a competent judge in a matter that you were before  
12 saying that the actions were in your best interest. That's why  
13 the trustees want it, Your Honor. It doesn't do anything for  
14 them unless it has a preclusive effect against us.

15 And what they'll then say -- it's like dying a slow  
16 death. We will have lost that right. Then at the 9019, we  
17 won't be able to argue that they had breached their fiduciary  
18 duty or violated their contractual obligations. What we'll be  
19 able to say for other reasons that the 9019 should not be  
20 approved. But that's not what the heart of our right is, which  
21 we are simply trying to retain.

22 THE COURT: Why do you think the trustees have  
23 breached their fiduciary duty in entering into the settlement?

24 MR. BAIIO: Because we believe that FGIC had made  
25 announcements that the amount that they believed should have

1 been received by our clients is in excess of fifty percent more  
2 than what the settlement agreement achieves. We will be losing  
3 the money, the difference between the 252 million dollars and  
4 what we think is, when you look at the filings by the experts,  
5 at least the piece that we were able to see, that it should be  
6 350 million.

7 THE COURT: You have no assurance of any amount under  
8 your scenario. You have experts who are doing estimates of  
9 future losses and the financial circumstances of FGIC and are  
10 prepared to offer expert testimony from your standpoint of what  
11 you ultimately you believe you'd be able to recover --

12 MR. BAIIO: Yes.

13 THE COURT: -- absent a settlement.

14 MR. BAIIO: And they're also --

15 THE COURT: Absent a settlement.

16 MR. BAIIO: Correct.

17 THE COURT: Correct?

18 MR. BAIIO: Absent a settlement, but our settlement.

19 THE COURT: And a settlement --

20 MR. BAIIO: We're not settling, and absent the  
21 commutation.

22 THE COURT: Well, whose rights are being settled? The  
23 trustees' or yours?

24 MR. BAIIO: Ours, in connection with the insurance  
25 policies; the trustees' hold them for our benefit. Those are

1 what are being compromised and they're being compromised so  
2 that others will gain an advantage on our backs. That's what  
3 we are prepared to prove if we can get the discovery. And we  
4 don't think --

5 THE COURT: So what hearings have you had in the state  
6 court at this stage? Do you have a discovery schedule? Does  
7 Justice Ling-Cohan know that you're about to go to war before  
8 her?

9 MR. BAIIO: We have nothing at this point, Your Honor.  
10 And it's not even clear that we have standing.

11 I know everybody in this court is granting us -- not  
12 Your Honor, but the counsel.

13 THE COURT: Well, I haven't agreed to anything.

14 MR. BAIIO: I understand. I didn't mean Your Honor. I  
15 meant counsel are saying what we have rights to do. It is not  
16 clear at all. We will pursue them.

17 All we want is not to be precluded from advancing our  
18 fundamental claim that we are being -- our rights are being  
19 compromised without any gain for us. That's the main -- that's  
20 the heart of it.

21 THE COURT: All right.

22 MR. BAIIO: And if it's to be deferred and we can take  
23 discovery in a reasonable period of time and then Your Honor  
24 wants --

25 THE COURT: You know, your idea of a reasonable period

1 of time and my idea of a reasonable period of time are vastly  
2 different, I'll tell you that right now, Mr. Baio.

3 MR. BAIIO: I understand.

4 THE COURT: This is moving forward.

5 MR. BAIIO: I understand. I'm just talking -- right  
6 now we have three depositions scheduled for tomorrow. We don't  
7 have a single document. We haven't seen -- we don't get to  
8 take their experts. We don't get to do a 30(b)(6) which would  
9 be -- we want to know what the evaluations were in giving away  
10 our rights. And we have one day with three declarants, some of  
11 whom may know nothing about that.

12 So we think it's unfair. And it is driven because of  
13 the findings. And the findings, the exclusion that they have  
14 suggesting that we will preserve all of our rights -- if we  
15 would preserve them, why do they get the findings in the first  
16 place? Those findings must mean something.

17 THE COURT: You know, Mr. Baio, we're dealing with 47  
18 out of -- this is number 900; I thought it was 500. But there  
19 are a lot of trusts that are involved that you've got nothing  
20 to do with, that FGIC has nothing to do with. The global  
21 settlement, the mediation, affects all of the trusts, other  
22 monoline insurers as well that resolve claims.

23 So you've got -- you're entitled to your rights. I'm  
24 not -- I don't disagree with that, okay. But your little piece  
25 of this, you're threatening to derail a very, very large

1 process of which you have one little piece of, okay. You're  
2 entitled to your rights and I'm not steamrolling your rights,  
3 okay. But to have you come in less than ten days before a  
4 hearing at which the PSA is going to be considered by the  
5 Court, so -- anyway --

6 Let me hear -- Mr. Mr. Johnson, I have some more  
7 questions for you.

8 Thank you, Mr. Baio.

9 MR. BAIIO: May I -- okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: So my basic question. You know, Mr. Baio  
11 says they're going to be precluded from challenging the  
12 trustees' good faith in connection with the 9019. Agree or  
13 disagree?

14 MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, the whole import --

15 THE COURT: No --

16 MR. JOHNSON: -- of the proposed language --

17 THE COURT: -- Mr. Johnson --

18 MR. JOHNSON: No, Your Honor, the --

19 THE COURT: Mr. Johnson --

20 MR. JOHNSON: -- answer is no, Your Honor, if the --

21 THE COURT: -- I want -- my question is a very clear  
22 question and if possible, I want a very clear answer. Then you  
23 can go on and give your explanation.

24 Will Monarch and Stonehill be precluded at the 9019 in  
25 this court from challenging the trustees' good faith in

1 entering into the settlement?

2 MR. JOHNSON: I believe that is not the case, Your  
3 Honor.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. JOHNSON: I believe that the import of what has  
6 been proposed by the debtors is --

7 THE COURT: And you're speaking for all of the trusts  
8 for which -- that are wrapped by FGIC? MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your  
9 Honor.

10 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, that seems to be the  
11 answer. I mean --

12 MR. JOHNSON: That would be a factual finding, Your  
13 Honor. Good faith -- the good faith of the trustees would be a  
14 factual finding. And the import of the language that's in the  
15 modified proposed order is to carve out --

16 THE COURT: Okay, I just --

17 MR. JOHNSON: -- factual findings for the 9019.

18 THE COURT: I don't want -- if I go ahead and approve  
19 the PSA with the language that the debtors propose to add, I  
20 want to be clear, based on your answer to my question, you will  
21 not argue that the investors are precluded from challenging the  
22 good faith of the trustees in entering into the settle -- this  
23 portion of the settlement? When I say "this portion", with  
24 respect to FGIC. And you agree with that?

25 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. BAIIO: And, Your Honor, I assume it's --

3 THE COURT: Mr. Baio?

4 MR. BAIIO: -- it's more than the good faith and also  
5 that we can challenge that it was not in our best interest. In  
6 other words, we can challenge --

7 THE COURT: Hold on.

8 MR. JOHNSON: Same answer, Your --

9 MR. BAIIO: -- the finding.

10 MR. JOHNSON: Same answer, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Same answer.

12 MR. BAIIO: Same answer.

13 MR. JOHNSON: I can short -- yeah, I will shortcut:  
14 Same answer.

15 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Baio? You heard it? Did you  
16 get a transcript?

17 MR. BAIIO: May I confer for --

18 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

19 MR. BAIIO: -- one minute, Your Honor?

20 THE COURT: Please go ahead.

21 MR. BAIIO: Thank you.

22 (Pause)

23 MR. BAIIO: And, Your Honor, we are not precluded from  
24 taking any other action against the trustees, correct? I  
25 assume that's --

1 THE COURT: No.

2 MR. BAIIO: -- a given?

3 THE COURT: I don't -- no. I don't know what you're  
4 talking about, Mr. Baio, okay? If you're -- what action are  
5 you planning to take?

6 MR. BAIIO: If they breach their fiduciary duty to us.

7 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Baio, we're going to have a  
8 hearing on 9019. You've heard that you're not precluded from  
9 challenging good faith or best interests, at the time of the  
10 hearing. If you're about to launch some other war against the  
11 trustee, if they think they have -- if they think I have any  
12 power to stop it, I might, okay? As far as I'm concerned,  
13 there's a schedule -- let me -- why don't you both sit down --

14 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: -- okay? From the start -- when I say  
16 "from the start", when I first read the PSA and the term sheets  
17 and saw the provisions with respect to the findings that the  
18 trustees are seeking, I had questions about it. At the status  
19 conference after the PSA was entered into -- there was a status  
20 conference the following week; I don't remember, it was Tuesday  
21 or Wednesday or something like that, of the following week -- I  
22 focused in on that. And I made clear -- I moved up the date by  
23 which the trustees had to submit evidence in support of the  
24 findings, and recognized there may well be discovery. And I  
25 believe then, I believe now, that discovery should be limited

1 with respect to the issues at the June 26th hearing to approve  
2 the PSA, because -- I said this -- our phone conversation on  
3 Friday was not on the record; it focused on discovery issues,  
4 and I do those generally off the record. But I'll repeat in  
5 substance what I said then. It was my view, when the PSA was  
6 first presented, that whatever the outcome on -- what the PSA  
7 seeks to do is bind the parties to the agreement, to support a  
8 plan consistent with the terms of the term sheets, and it gives  
9 the parties to the PSA various rights to withdraw, various  
10 things don't happen, milestones that had to be achieved, et  
11 cetera.

12           Assuming the PSA is approved, disclosure statement and  
13 plan is going to be forthcoming hopefully soon. There'll be a  
14 confirmation hearing. Because of FGIC's unique status -- and  
15 by that I mean it has the rehabilitation proceeding going in  
16 state court, it requires the approval of the state court -- I  
17 was told then, and I still understand now, that there was a  
18 need to expedite consideration of the issues with respect to  
19 FGIC, apart from the 9019s that would be embodied in a plan.

20           I don't -- and I recognize that the findings that had  
21 been requested with respect to the trustees raise a different  
22 set of issues for the Court to consider at an appropriate time.  
23 And I'll do that. But it does seem to me, from the telephone  
24 communication on Friday and from reviewing both letters before  
25 today's hearing, that the issues that you raise really go to

1 the approval of the 9019, either by this Court or by the  
2 rehabilitation court. And I don't plan to turn the June 26th  
3 hearing into a trial of the issues that are going to have to  
4 be -- that may have to be determined -- whether they have to,  
5 we'll see -- either by this Court or by the state court.

6 One of the things I would like to do, and I'm going to  
7 ask now whether I hear -- I may do it anyway, but I want to  
8 hear whether anybody has any objection: I would like to call  
9 Justice Ling-Cohan and communicate with her, not about the  
10 substance of what the ruling would be but about what procedures  
11 are going to be followed in the two courts, since approvals by  
12 both courts are required. At the time of the initial status  
13 conference after the PSA was entered, with respect to some  
14 other -- there are some other issues under the PSA that require  
15 approval of more than one court. Some of the securities  
16 litigation claimants requires under -- Judge Baer in the  
17 district court needs to grant an approval in a class action,  
18 and I have to grant approval as well. And I raised the issue  
19 then about whether there'd been any discussion about joint  
20 hearings or procedures that would follow.

21 So it is -- and I'll listen to any objections from  
22 you. What I would like to do is call Justice Ling-Cohan and  
23 talk with her about what the procedures are. To the extent  
24 there is discovery with respect to either the 9019 or the  
25 proceeding before her and the rehabilitation court, discovery

1 should be coordinated; it should only be done once. If there  
2 are issues about standing in the state court, that's obviously  
3 for her to decide, not for me. But I want, to the fullest  
4 extent possible, to have -- to coordinate the proceedings so  
5 that if there are depositions, they only happen once.

6 I, as a regular matter, limit the length of  
7 depositions, the number of depositions, the scope of document  
8 discovery. I'm not speaking now to what discovery may be  
9 relevant for the 9019 in this court. As it was discussed on  
10 Friday, and I think that the debtors' counsel communicated this  
11 to the investors' counsel, prior to the hearing on the 26th  
12 with respect to the FGIC settlement is just a status  
13 conference, not for approval of the FGIC settlement.

14 I don't want you to wait until the 26th to begin these  
15 discussions. You need -- from the letters, it's obvious that  
16 the investors want much broader discovery with respect to the  
17 9019. And you talked about trying to do it now rather than  
18 later, and I'm not going to permit it now. But I want -- you  
19 need to try and coordinate now what discovery you're going to  
20 take, what the timetable is going to be. I don't want to --  
21 let's not wait until June 26 to hear about it. To the extent  
22 you can resolve all of your issues about discovery for the  
23 9019, you ought to do that; to the extent you can't, the  
24 Court'll do that in subsequent conferences. I generally handle  
25 discovery disputes, as I did on Friday, in telephone

1 conferences; sometimes the parties come in. I don't want  
2 briefing on discovery disputes; I'm generally able to resolve  
3 it without it.

4 But I don't know how many additional parties are  
5 involved in the state court proceeding. I guess the -- I know  
6 there are additional parties; I don't know whether you've  
7 talked with them about what your plans are for the state court,  
8 but I want all that coordinated. I mean, if there's discovery  
9 that's going to go on, fine. I put limits on what I'll permit,  
10 but that's -- and I don't know what the state court judge'll  
11 decide what she wants to do, but I want to have an early  
12 communication with her.

13 And in other matters, I've had joint hearings. If  
14 Justice Ling-Cohan wants to have a joint -- is willing to have  
15 a joint hearing regarding discovery and procedures, fine; if  
16 she doesn't, that's fine. I'm not -- I don't force anybody to  
17 do anything. But it's been beneficial when I've done it in  
18 other matters, with state courts and federal district courts.

19 So -- okay, so that's what I want to say.

20 Mr. Baio, is there anything else you want to say now?

21 MR. BAIIO: Yes, Your Honor. One --

22 THE COURT: Go ahead.

23 MR. BAIIO: One more thing.

24 THE COURT: Please. Go up to the microphone.

25 MR. BAIIO: And, Your Honor, proceeding as you've

1 described, speaking to the state court judge seems appropriate.

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MR. BAIIO: But I'm going to try one more time --

4 THE COURT: Go ahead.

5 MR. BAIIO: -- with our concern. The carve-out  
6 language that they are proposing suggests that for all other  
7 purposes, beyond the carve-out, we're bound by those findings.

8 THE COURT: So you raise two issues with me: You  
9 raise issue about good faith and best interests.

10 MR. BAIIO: Yes.

11 THE COURT: And Mr. Johnson, with respect to both  
12 those points, agreed that you're not precluded from challenging  
13 good faith or best interests.

14 MR. BAIIO: Anywhere.

15 THE COURT: All I can deal with is before me. I  
16 can't -- I don't control what the state court does. I only --  
17 I'm dealing with what's before me. I had a clear statement  
18 from Mr. Johnson that there would be -- and I -- look, first  
19 off I'd say the language that the debtor proposed -- I was  
20 fairly confident that that would be the import of it, that you  
21 wouldn't -- there wouldn't be preclusive effect. But so that  
22 we'd have greater clarity, you can order a transcript.

23 Mr. Johnson stated very clearly -- he said very  
24 clearly with respect -- well, he said clearly as to both --

25 MR. BAIIO: That --

1 THE COURT: -- good faith and best interests. What  
2 are the other -- what other --

3 MR. BAIIO: No, it's just those two --

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. BAIIO: -- Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: And you --

7 MR. BAIIO: But --

8 THE COURT: -- you got a clear statement on the  
9 record.

10 MR. BAIIO: Okay. And it's in any proceeding? In  
11 other words, not just --

12 THE COURT: Before me. I can't -- I just said it  
13 again: I can't control what happens in the state court. I can  
14 only control what happens before me, Mr. Baio.

15 MR. BAIIO: But the findings that you're entering, Your  
16 Honor, are --

17 THE COURT: Mr. Baio --

18 MR. BAIIO: -- by you.

19 THE COURT: -- I'm -- yes, they are, and they're --  
20 it's not a final order, and --

21 MR. BAIIO: Well, you can have --

22 THE COURT: -- it's a step -- no, it's an  
23 interlocutory order in the sense that I have to go ahead and  
24 have a 9019; I got to have a disclosure statement approved; I  
25 got to have a plan confirmed. If the plan doesn't get

1 confirmed, it's all of no import here.

2 MR. BAIIO: I understand --

3 THE COURT: Okay?

4 MR. BAIIO: -- all that, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: That's why it's interlocutory.

6 MR. BAIIO: All I'm -- all I am trying to be sure that  
7 I've minimized -- perhaps the transcript does that, but this  
8 language does not --

9 THE COURT: Well, I -- it does.

10 MR. BAIIO: -- is that --

11 THE COURT: It does.

12 MR. BAIIO: Well, it says that it allows us to object  
13 at the 9019 --

14 THE COURT: Okay --

15 MR. BAIIO: -- and for the disclosure statement. It  
16 doesn't say for any other purposes.

17 THE COURT: What other purposes do you want? What  
18 other purposes --

19 MR. BAIIO: Well, it may be in the state court  
20 proceeding.

21 THE COURT: I just told you, I can't preclude -- I  
22 can't bind the state court to anything.

23 MR. BAIIO: But you can limit your findings so that  
24 they can't be used by the parties elsewhere; that's all.

25 THE COURT: Anybody else wish to be heard?

1 Mr. Johnson, come on up.

2 MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, because I think we're the  
3 most immediately impacted, I just want to --

4 THE COURT: Yes.

5 MR. JOHNSON: -- be sure I understand the import of  
6 what you've talked about this morning, and that is that we are  
7 not going to rush headlong into depositions this week but,  
8 instead, work on a coordinated schedule so that we only do this  
9 once and not twice or even --

10 THE COURT: Well, I do believe --

11 MR. JOHNSON: -- three times.

12 THE COURT: -- the depositions of the declarants needs  
13 to go forward.

14 MR. JOHNSON: And will that be limited, Your Honor, to  
15 issues other than the 9019? I'm trying to understand, Your  
16 Honor, what -- I think the concern the trustees have is that we  
17 not have multiple opportunities --

18 THE COURT: Well, you --

19 MR. JOHNSON: -- to be questioned on --

20 THE COURT: -- you've requested --

21 MR. JOHNSON: -- the 9019.

22 THE COURT: -- specific findings. And you could --  
23 and it's fair game to examine the declarants on each of the --  
24 on anything that's covered by their declarations as to which  
25 you've asked the Court to make findings. Okay? And I -- at

1 some point today, I thought you had reached an agreement on  
2 Friday as to the scope of appropriate document discovery.  
3 You'll work out the protective order; I have confidence you'll  
4 do that; if you can't, I'll -- you'll get that resolved. So  
5 you'd produce the documents attorneys-eyes-only for now and  
6 work out the terms of the protective order.

7 But what are you -- I'm concerned that you're  
8 overreaching now.

9 MR. JOHNSON: Well, Your Honor, I had understood that  
10 you understood the concern we have about multiple shots at  
11 this. And we don't think this is the appropriate forum next  
12 week --

13 THE COURT: Look, if you want me to make --

14 MR. JOHNSON: -- to get into the --

15 THE COURT: -- if you wish to have me --

16 MR. JOHNSON: -- details of the commutation payment.

17 THE COURT: If you --

18 MR. JOHNSON: And I'm concerned that this week will  
19 turn into a discovery on the commutation payment, that will  
20 then not really be the subject of next week's PSA hearing but  
21 will just be a preliminary to getting the discovery in the  
22 context of the 9019, which is really where that discovery  
23 should be occurring.

24 THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, through the benefits of being  
25 able to search a PDF on an iPad, I searched through and found

1 everyplace where "FGIC" or "commutation" is mentioned. And  
2 it's in the PSA, okay? And so I'm being asked to approved a  
3 PSA that includes -- there's, like, a half a dozen references  
4 to FGIC and commutation, okay? And to the extent that that  
5 issue -- those issues have been put in issue for the hearing on  
6 June 26, they are relevant, okay? That isn't the same thing as  
7 approval of a 9019 settlement, but -- you obviously negotiated  
8 to include language in the term sheets, specific to FGIC,  
9 specific to commutation, specific to capping how much FGIC  
10 would have to pay. Okay? So I'm not excluding the discovery  
11 on that. I've said the declarants -- the declarations are on  
12 file; the depositions are scheduled. Whoever's got the  
13 documents better get the documents produced so that this stuff  
14 can move forward. We're going forward on June 26th.

15 So if you want to extract from the PSA and its  
16 attached-to term sheets anything related to FGIC, yeah, fine.  
17 Okay, then you can narrow what the discovery is. But it's in  
18 there, okay? It's signed. That's the -- that's what I'm being  
19 asked to approve. What it would do is require the parties to  
20 the PSA agreement to go forward and support a plan that  
21 includes the agreed-upon terms of a plan. Okay?

22 And I made the comment earlier; I'm not sure why the  
23 investors want to come to the party on June 26 but, if they  
24 believe that they should and you're not objecting, fine, go  
25 ahead. But I'm not going to permit duplicative discovery,

1 okay? You get one bite at the apple, unless you all agree, for  
2 purposes of the -- and it may make sense to do that, that you  
3 all agree, for purposes of this initial round, they'll only be  
4 questioned on certain subjects and the investors reserve their  
5 right to further examine the trustees on the broader issues  
6 that come up in the 9019. That I will fully understand, okay?

7 It does seem to me that the issues on June 26 are  
8 fairly narrow, okay? And the discovery between now and the  
9 hearing should be narrowly tailored to address the issues for  
10 the 26th. And to the extent that the issues are broader with  
11 respect to approval of the 9019, approval of this settlement in  
12 the rehabilitation court, I'm not going to -- don't think  
13 you're going to come back and say, 'But, Judge, they already  
14 had the discovery in connection with the PSA.' I'm not going  
15 to pre-judge it until -- you ought to try and work this -- you  
16 ought to be able to work this out. Okay?

17 MR. JOHNSON: Understood, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Anyone else wish to be heard? Come on up.  
19 Let's go; I got a calendar at 10 o'clock.

20 MS. ALVES: Your Honor, Arlene Alves with Seward &  
21 Kissell, on behalf of U.S. Bank. We have a very narrow request  
22 relating to the proposed deposition of our client. The  
23 business person at U.S. Bank, who's been involved in this case  
24 from May of last year, works out of Chicago; she's a new  
25 nursing mother with a four-month-old infant, and we had

1 requested of Willkie, as an accommodation, that her deposition  
2 be tele -- be through videoconference. Alternatively, if they  
3 would like to, they could come to Chicago.

4 THE COURT: They can do one of two things: You can  
5 either agree to do it by videoconference, or you can go to  
6 Chicago. Okay? I'm not going to require a new mother to come  
7 to New York for the deposition. Okay?

8 MS. ALVES: Thank you, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 Come on up. Let's go.

11 MR. SIDMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Howard Sidman  
12 from Jones Day, for FGIC. I just want to understand the -- for  
13 purposes of your ruling. The counsel for Monarch and Stonehill  
14 had sought discovery and document requests on FGIC as well as  
15 other third parties, and they also are after -- saying they  
16 weren't going to do it on Friday. They've now -- this is over  
17 the weekend -- said they want to continue with depositions of  
18 FGIC in connection with --

19 THE COURT: I'm not going to permit the depositions.

20 MR. SIDMAN: I'm sorry?

21 THE COURT: To the extent -- I'm not going to permit  
22 the depositions. To the extent that there are relevant  
23 documents, I do want FGIC to produce the documents. If you  
24 can't resolve -- hopefully you've narrowed the scope of the  
25 document request.

1 MR. SIDMAN: Well --

2 THE COURT: Go ahead.

3 MR. SIDMAN: -- Your Honor, we sent them a letter on  
4 Friday afternoon, because the reality is the documents at issue  
5 here, and the ones that the trustee used to evaluate the  
6 settlement --

7 THE COURT: Right.

8 MR. SIDMAN: -- are in the hands of the trustee. So  
9 we said there are no other documents --

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. SIDMAN: -- that we had that were --

12 THE COURT: Work that out.

13 MR. SIDMAN: -- available.

14 THE COURT: You ought to be able to work that out,  
15 okay? With respect -- they don't have to be produced twice,  
16 okay? There's a very short amount of time available. There is  
17 going to be a 9019. There's going to be more fulsome discovery  
18 for the 9019. Okay? The issues for the 26th are much  
19 narrower. But -- I don't have any doubt that you'll proceed in  
20 good faith. And when you say the documents are already in the  
21 possession of the debtor, most of the debtor's documents have  
22 already been put in digital format, searchable, et cetera.  
23 Okay?

24 All right, let me hear next counsel.

25 MR. GARRITY: Can I just get confer --

1 THE COURT: Yeah. Go ahead.

2 MR. GARRITY: -- one second?

3 THE COURT: Sure.

4 (Pause)

5 THE COURT: Mr. Garrity?

6 MR. GARRITY: Good morning, Your Honor. Jim Garrity  
7 from Morgan Lewis, on behalf of Deutsche Bank Trust Company  
8 America (sic), Deutsche Bank National Trust Companies (sic), as  
9 trustee. Your Honor, we're not trustee for any of the wrapped  
10 trust. We've no relationship. We have no -- not party to the  
11 agreement.

12 We've discussed with Mr. Baio the following: that  
13 after they take the depositions of the trustees who are party  
14 to the agreement, if he believes -- if Monarch and Stonehill  
15 believe that there's a need to come back to Deutsche Bank to  
16 take discovery of Deutsche Bank, we would meet and confer and  
17 try to resolve it. We frankly -- we're not party to the  
18 negotiations.

19 THE COURT: Right.

20 MR. GARRITY: So I think we're just going to put that  
21 off to the side for a moment.

22 THE COURT: Put it off to the side. I don't exactly  
23 see why you're being deposed in connection -- when none of the  
24 trusts were wrapped with FGIC -- by FGIC. Okay?

25 MR. GARRITY: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm --

1 THE COURT: All right.

2 MR. GARRITY: I'm sure we will work it out.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

4 MR. GARRITY: Thank you.

5 THE COURT: Next. Come on up.

6 MR. KIBLER: John Kibler from Allen & Overy,  
7 representing HSBC Bank USA. We have a very similar issue to  
8 Deutsche Bank in the sense that we don't have any FGIC wrapped  
9 trusts. We received a notice of deposition and we responded  
10 with a letter essentially stating that we think the other  
11 trustees are in a better position to provide information with  
12 respect to that. We have not heard from the other side since  
13 then. So we're just in the same position, I guess, that  
14 Deutsche Bank is.

15 MR. BAIIO: Same arrangement, Your Honor.

16 MR. KIBLER: That'd be great.

17 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

18 MR. KIBLER: So there's no deposition on schedule?

19 MR. BAIIO: Correct.

20 MR. KIBLER: Perfect.

21 THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

22 MR. CHRISTENSEN: Your Honor, Dale Christensen from  
23 Seward & Kissell, for Law Debenture. Our is a similar  
24 situation, although we believe we have one wrapped trust; we  
25 have a sort of special relationship with Wells Fargo. It's our

1 understanding that Monarch's counsel has agreed to wait until  
2 they've concluded the depositions of the principal trustees and  
3 then determine whether they want to take an additional  
4 deposition of us.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Baio?

6 MR. BAIIO: Same answer.

7 THE COURT: Okay. Okay, anybody else wish to be  
8 heard? Mr. Kerr.

9 MR. KERR: Your Honor, Charles Kerr of Morrison &  
10 Foerster again, on behalf of the debtor. In light of the  
11 discussion this morning, maybe I'll ask counsel on it, is  
12 whether or not the deposition of Mr. Kruger is necessary.  
13 Mr. Kruger --

14 THE COURT: Look, work that out outside of court. I'm  
15 not going to --

16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We'll work it out.

17 MR. KERR: We'll work it out.

18 THE COURT: I'm not going to --

19 MR. KERR: Okay, thank you.

20 THE COURT: He's the CRO and I'm not going to deal  
21 with that now. You ought to be able to work it out.

22 Let me just look at the calendar.

23 (Pause)

24 THE COURT: Okay, I'd like to have a telephone  
25 conference this afternoon at 5 o'clock, just to see what the

1 status is. I mean, I think -- with respect to the issues  
2 raised by the two letters, I think it should be clear, but to  
3 make it crystal clear. Monarch and Stonehill's -- I want to  
4 call them objections; there's no -- I mean, I have the letters  
5 from both Willkie Farr and from the debtor. The objections to  
6 the PSA hearing procedures and discovery are overruled to the  
7 extent that the language proposed by the debtors, as contained  
8 in their June 16th letter on page 4, will be included in any  
9 order approving the PSA, assuming that the Court approves the  
10 PSA.

11 As made clear on the record, Mr. Johnson, representing  
12 the trustees for the FGIC wrapped trust, stated on the  
13 record -- and you can all order a transcript about it -- that  
14 the trustees do not contend that any findings that the Court  
15 makes in connection with approval of the PSA, if it is  
16 approved, will have any preclusive effect in the 9019, or in  
17 plan confirmation, with respect to the good faith and best  
18 interests of the trustees in entering into the PSA and in  
19 supporting a plan that incorporates its terms.

20 This ruling is only intended to be applicable to this  
21 proceeding, and "this proceeding" meaning the ResCap bankruptcy  
22 proceedings in this court. I can't make any decision that has  
23 any binding effect on a state court.

24 I did ask whether counsel have any objections to my  
25 discussing with Justice Ling-Cohan coordination of proceedings.

1 Mr. Johnson, I think, indicated that he had no objection. But  
2 let me ask debtors, investors, anybody else who wants to be  
3 heard on this point. I don't plan to go into the substance of  
4 what any determination is, but I do believe, as I indicated, I  
5 think, since the issues ultimately may be different for  
6 decision but they substantially overlap, and to the extent that  
7 there's discovery, it ought to be coordinated so it's only done  
8 once.

9 Mr. Kerr?

10 MR. KERR: Your Honor, Charles Kerr of Morrison  
11 Foerster, on behalf of the debtor. We have no objection to  
12 your contacting --

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. KERR: -- Justice Ling-Cohan.

15 THE COURT: Mr. Baio?

16 MR. BAIIO: No objection, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: All right. Anybody else wish to be heard  
18 on that point?

19 (No audible response)

20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.

21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: All right, so somebody set up the call;  
23 let my chambers know. It will be an off-the-record call. I  
24 just want to see whether you've worked out your remaining  
25 discovery issues so we can get on with this. Okay? Thank you

1 very much, everybody. The Court's going to be in --

2 (Audio ends mid-sentence)

3 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10:03 a.m.)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I N D E X

R U L I N G S

DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE
The objections to the PSA hearing procedures and discovery are overruled to the extent that the language proposed by the debtors, as contained in their June 16th letter on page 4, will be included in any order approving the PSA, assuming that the Court approves the PSA.	49	6

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Sharona Shapiro, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

*Sharona Shapiro*

---

SHARONA SHAPIRO

AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET\*\*D-492

eScribers

700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607

New York, NY 10040

Date: June 17, 2013

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>A</b></p>	<p><b>afternoon (3)</b> 7:8;45:4;48:25</p> <p><b>again (11)</b> 10:19;12:5;13:11, 17:17;19;18:15; 21:14,16;24:1; 38:13;48:10</p> <p><b>against (3)</b> 25:14;31:24;32:10</p> <p><b>aggregate (1)</b> 15:7</p> <p><b>Agree (5)</b> 29:12;30:24;43:1, 3;44:5</p> <p><b>agreed (11)</b> 9:6,7,15,17,21; 10:22;12:9;18:24; 27:13;37:12;48:1</p> <p><b>agreed-upon (1)</b> 42:21</p> <p><b>agreement (16)</b> 7:10;8:7;10:11; 12:15,21;17:16,24; 20:11;23:25;24:2; 26:2;33:7;41:1; 42:20;46:11,14</p> <p><b>ahead (11)</b> 16:16;18:12; 30:18;31:18,20; 36:22;37:4;38:23; 42:25;45:2;46:1</p> <p><b>ALLEN (2)</b> 4:2;47:6</p> <p><b>allowed (3)</b> 10:21,23;12:9</p> <p><b>allows (1)</b> 39:12</p> <p><b>Ally (1)</b> 6:3</p> <p><b>almost (1)</b> 16:13</p> <p><b>along (2)</b> 17:11;21:11</p> <p><b>ALSTON (3)</b> 5:10;14:9;15:25</p> <p><b>Alternative (2)</b> 4:12;21:24</p> <p><b>Alternatively (1)</b> 44:2</p> <p><b>although (3)</b> 9:10;12:5;47:24</p> <p><b>ALVES (4)</b> 6:16;43:20,20; 44:8</p> <p><b>America (1)</b> 46:8</p> <p><b>Americas (1)</b> 4:4</p> <p><b>among (1)</b> 18:24</p> <p><b>amount (9)</b> 7:20;10:20,21; 11:11,12;12:8;</p>	<p><b>25:25;26:7;45:16</b></p> <p><b>ample (1)</b> 17:25</p> <p><b>analyzing (1)</b> 10:1</p> <p><b>announcements (1)</b> 25:25</p> <p><b>apart (1)</b> 33:19</p> <p><b>apparently (1)</b> 24:6</p> <p><b>appears (1)</b> 18:19</p> <p><b>apple (1)</b> 43:1</p> <p><b>applicable (1)</b> 49:20</p> <p><b>applies (1)</b> 11:21</p> <p><b>applying (1)</b> 20:13</p> <p><b>appreciation (1)</b> 15:24</p> <p><b>appropriate (8)</b> 10:23;12:9;16:20, 23;33:22;37:1;41:2, 11</p> <p><b>approval (15)</b> 8:7,11;12:24; 19:18;22:17;33:16; 34:1,15,17,18;35:13; 42:7;43:11,11;49:15</p> <p><b>approvals (1)</b> 34:11</p> <p><b>approve (10)</b> 7:9;10:16;11:7,19; 20:20;23:24;24:1; 30:18;33:1;42:19</p> <p><b>approved (11)</b> 8:24;12:24;20:7,8, 13,19;25:20;33:12; 38:24;42:2;49:16</p> <p><b>approves (3)</b> 13:6;24:5;49:9</p> <p><b>approving (1)</b> 49:9</p> <p><b>argue (8)</b> 14:22;20:21;21:1; 24:25;25:2,6,17; 30:21</p> <p><b>arguing (1)</b> 20:18</p> <p><b>ARLENE (2)</b> 6:16;43:20</p> <p><b>around (1)</b> 16:1</p> <p><b>arrangement (1)</b> 47:15</p> <p><b>assume (2)</b> 31:2,25</p> <p><b>assuming (5)</b> 21:10;24:4,5; 33:12;49:9</p>	<p><b>assumption (1)</b> 12:6</p> <p><b>assurance (1)</b> 26:7</p> <p><b>Atlanta (1)</b> 5:14</p> <p><b>attached-to (1)</b> 42:16</p> <p><b>attempt (1)</b> 12:16</p> <p><b>attempting (1)</b> 8:16</p> <p><b>Attorneys (7)</b> 4:3,11;5:3,11,21; 6:3,11</p> <p><b>attorneys'-eyes-only (1)</b> 9:18</p> <p><b>attorneys-eyes-only (1)</b> 41:5</p> <p><b>audible (1)</b> 50:19</p> <p><b>Audio (1)</b> 51:2</p> <p><b>August (4)</b> 8:2;18:2,3,8</p> <p><b>available (2)</b> 45:13,16</p> <p><b>Avenue (3)</b> 4:4,13;5:22</p> <p><b>away (1)</b> 28:9</p>	<p><b>11;14:9;43:21,23; 46:7,8,15,16;47:7,8, 14</b></p> <p><b>bankruptcy (2)</b> 25:9;49:21</p> <p><b>based (2)</b> 21:16;30:20</p> <p><b>basic (1)</b> 29:10</p> <p><b>basis (3)</b> 9:18;22:25;24:18</p> <p><b>Battery (1)</b> 6:12</p> <p><b>begin (1)</b> 35:14</p> <p><b>behalf (6)</b> 7:6;21:24;43:21; 46:7;48:10;50:11</p> <p><b>believes (1)</b> 46:14</p> <p><b>belong (3)</b> 23:11,11,14</p> <p><b>beneficial (1)</b> 36:17</p> <p><b>beneficiaries (1)</b> 11:22</p> <p><b>benefit (1)</b> 26:25</p> <p><b>benefits (1)</b> 41:24</p> <p><b>best (15)</b> 19:21,22;22:7,23; 24:11,15,17,19; 25:12;31:5;32:9; 37:9,13;38:1;49:17</p> <p><b>better (3)</b> 14:17;42:13;47:11</p> <p><b>beyond (1)</b> 37:7</p> <p><b>bind (2)</b> 33:7;39:22</p> <p><b>binding (1)</b> 49:23</p> <p><b>BIRD (3)</b> 5:10;14:9;16:1</p> <p><b>bite (1)</b> 43:1</p> <p><b>BOCKIUS (1)</b> 5:20</p> <p><b>both (9)</b> 7:12;8:6;14:10; 32:13;33:24;34:12; 37:11,24;49:5</p> <p><b>bound (1)</b> 37:7</p> <p><b>breach (1)</b> 32:6</p> <p><b>breached (2)</b> 25:17,23</p> <p><b>briefing (1)</b> 36:2</p> <p><b>broad (2)</b> 8:15;9:3</p>
			<b>B</b>	
			<p><b>back (4)</b> 8:17;23:6;43:13; 46:15</p> <p><b>background (1)</b> 7:15</p> <p><b>backs (1)</b> 27:2</p> <p><b>Baer (1)</b> 34:16</p> <p><b>baggage (1)</b> 20:17</p> <p><b>BAIO (79)</b> 4:18;21:21,21,24; 22:5,15,20;23:5,8, 12,15;24:9;25:2,5,8, 24;26:12,14,16,18, 20,24;27:9,14,22; 28:2,3,5,17;29:8,9, 10;31:2,3,4,9,12,15, 17,19,21,23;32:2,4,6, 7;36:20,21,23,25; 37:3,5,10,14,25; 38:3,5,7,10,14,15,17, 18,21;39:2,4,6,10,12, 15,19,23;46:12; 47:15,19;48:5,6; 50:15,16</p> <p><b>BANK (15)</b> 4:3;5:11,21;6:3,</p>	

<p><b>broader (3)</b> 35:16;43:5,10 <b>Broadway (1)</b> 6:4 <b>BROWN (1)</b> 6:2 <b>business (1)</b> 43:23</p>	<p>7:5;48:9;50:10 <b>Chicago (3)</b> 43:24;44:3,6 <b>CHRISTENSEN (3)</b> 6:15;47:22,22 <b>circumstances (1)</b> 26:9 <b>claim (4)</b> 10:21,23;12:9; 27:18 <b>claimants (1)</b> 34:16 <b>claims (4)</b> 7:19;22:14;23:10; 28:22 <b>clarity (1)</b> 37:22 <b>class (1)</b> 34:17 <b>clear (15)</b> 7:18;8:23;20:24; 24:12;27:10,16; 29:21,22;30:20; 32:22;37:17;38:8; 49:2,3,11 <b>clearly (3)</b> 37:23,24,24 <b>client (1)</b> 43:22 <b>clients (1)</b> 26:1 <b>closest (1)</b> 8:11 <b>comment (1)</b> 42:22 <b>communicate (1)</b> 34:9 <b>communicated (1)</b> 35:10 <b>communication (2)</b> 33:24;36:12 <b>commutation (15)</b> 7:20,22;8:5;10:20; 11:8;16:21;17:13; 18:18;19:18;26:21; 41:16,19;42:1,4,9 <b>Companies (1)</b> 46:8 <b>Company (1)</b> 46:7 <b>competent (1)</b> 25:11 <b>completely (2)</b> 13:19,20 <b>compromised (3)</b> 27:1,1,19 <b>concern (5)</b> 12:23;16:20;37:5; 40:16;41:10 <b>concerned (8)</b> 7:21;10:5,10; 12:12;19:15;32:12; 41:7,18</p>	<p><b>concerns (1)</b> 10:14 <b>concluded (3)</b> 22:9;48:2;51:3 <b>conclusion (1)</b> 24:5 <b>confer (3)</b> 31:17;45:25;46:16 <b>conference (9)</b> 7:8;8:3,18;9:6; 32:19,20;34:13; 35:13;48:25 <b>conferences (2)</b> 35:24;36:1 <b>confidence (1)</b> 41:3 <b>confident (1)</b> 37:20 <b>confidentiality (1)</b> 9:15 <b>confirmation (2)</b> 33:14;49:17 <b>confirmed (2)</b> 38:25;39:1 <b>connection (10)</b> 12:23;13:8;17:15; 18:14;26:24;29:12; 43:14;44:18;46:23; 49:15 <b>consider (2)</b> 16:13;33:22 <b>consideration (1)</b> 33:18 <b>considered (1)</b> 29:4 <b>consistent (1)</b> 33:8 <b>contacting (1)</b> 50:12 <b>contained (1)</b> 49:7 <b>contend (1)</b> 49:14 <b>context (3)</b> 17:19;18:20;41:22 <b>continue (1)</b> 44:17 <b>contractual (1)</b> 25:18 <b>control (3)</b> 37:16;38:13,14 <b>conversation (1)</b> 33:2 <b>coordinate (2)</b> 35:4,19 <b>coordinated (4)</b> 35:1;36:8;40:8; 50:7 <b>coordination (1)</b> 49:25 <b>correctly (1)</b> 11:10 <b>counsel (14)</b></p>	<p>7:17;9:20;14:6,7; 21:20;27:12,15; 35:10,11;44:13; 45:24;48:1,11;49:24 <b>course (2)</b> 16:23;19:20 <b>COURT (236)</b> 7:2,12,19,24;8:2,5, 6;10:6,8,15,17,18, 19;11:3,4,4,8,10,14, 18,19,23;12:2,11,13, 22,23,25;13:2,5,7,8, 8,10,13,14,19,21,23; 14:2,4,6,14,17,19; 15:1,3,6,12,15,18,21, 23;16:2,4,8,11,15, 24;17:2,6,7,11,11,20, 24;18:2,3,8,10;19:3, 7,13,25;20:7,8,11,12, 14,20,22,24;21:1,8,9, 19,23;22:3,9,15,18, 21;23:3,6,10,14,18; 24:3,5,10,25,25; 25:4,6,7,9,9,22;26:7, 13,15,17,19,22;27:5, 6,11,13,21,25;28:4, 17;29:5,10,15,17,19, 21,25;30:4,7,10,16, 18;31:1,3,7,11,15,18, 20;32:1,3,7,15; 33:16,16,22;34:1,2, 5,5,15,17,25;35:2,9, 36:5,7,10,22,24; 37:1,2,4,8,11,15,16; 38:1,4,6,8,12,13,17, 19,22;39:3,5,9,11,14, 17,19,21,22,25;40:4, 10,12,18,20,22,25; 41:13,15,17,24; 43:12,18;44:4,9,19, 21;45:2,7,10,12,14; 46:1,3,5,19,22;47:1, 3,5,17,21;48:5,7,14, 14,18,20,24;49:9,14, 22,23;50:13,15,17, 20,22 <b>Court'll (1)</b> 35:24 <b>courts (5)</b> 20:1;34:11,12; 36:18,18 <b>Court's (2)</b> 11:2;51:1 <b>cover (1)</b> 19:10 <b>covered (2)</b> 19:11;40:24 <b>creditors (2)</b> 7:18;14:14 <b>CRO (2)</b> 9:9;48:20 <b>crystal (1)</b> 49:3</p>	<p><b>crystallize (2)</b> 7:14;8:21 <b>current (1)</b> 18:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>D</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>DALE (2)</b> 6:15;47:22 <b>date (1)</b> 32:22 <b>DAY (4)</b> 5:2;21:8;28:10; 44:12 <b>days (1)</b> 29:3 <b>deadline (1)</b> 17:22 <b>deal (4)</b> 10:1,2;37:15; 48:20 <b>dealing (2)</b> 28:17;37:17 <b>death (1)</b> 25:16 <b>Debenture (1)</b> 47:23 <b>debtor (9)</b> 7:18,24;12:9; 13:17;37:19;45:21; 48:10;49:5;50:11 <b>debtors (12)</b> 7:6;10:21;17:9; 18:6;21:8,12;23:19; 24:6;30:6,19;49:7; 50:2 <b>debtors' (1)</b> 35:10 <b>debtor's (2)</b> 21:17;45:21 <b>decide (4)</b> 19:14;20:24;35:3; 36:11 <b>decided (4)</b> 8:6;21:2,14;24:12 <b>deciding (2)</b> 7:25;20:19 <b>decision (2)</b> 49:22;50:6 <b>declarants (8)</b> 9:9,22;19:2,11; 28:10;40:12,23; 42:11 <b>declarations (4)</b> 19:3,12;40:24; 42:11 <b>deferred (1)</b> 27:22 <b>demonstrably (1)</b> 22:8 <b>demonstrate (1)</b> 22:9 <b>deponents (2)</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>C</b></p> <p><b>calendar (2)</b> 43:19;48:22 <b>call (7)</b> 9:6;18:24;34:8,22; 49:4;50:22,23 <b>called (1)</b> 16:6 <b>came (1)</b> 9:2 <b>can (28)</b> 10:14;11:3;12:22; 18:16;19:25;20:22; 24:12,25;27:3,22; 29:23;31:5,6,13; 35:22;37:15,22; 38:13,21;39:23; 42:14,17;44:4,4,5; 45:25;49:13;50:25 <b>cap (1)</b> 11:11 <b>Capital (5)</b> 4:11,12;7:3;21:24, 25 <b>capping (1)</b> 42:9 <b>carry (1)</b> 20:17 <b>carve (2)</b> 21:8;30:15 <b>carve-out (4)</b> 17:9;19:23;37:5,7 <b>case (3)</b> 20:19;30:2;43:23 <b>center (1)</b> 12:2 <b>certain (1)</b> 43:4 <b>certainly (2)</b> 11:15;12:22 <b>cetera (2)</b> 33:11;45:22 <b>challenge (6)</b> 8:4,6,7;13:1;31:5, 6 <b>challenging (6)</b> 8:25;29:11,25; 30:21;32:9;37:12 <b>chambers (1)</b> 50:23 <b>Chapter (1)</b> 23:23 <b>Charles (3)</b></p>				

<p>9:7;18:25  <b>depose (1)</b>                  9:8  <b>deposed (2)</b>                  9:11;46:23  <b>deposing (1)</b>                  9:22  <b>deposition (7)</b>                  43:22;44:1,7;47:9,                  18;48:4,12  <b>depositions (15)</b>                  9:24,24;19:5;28:6;                  35:5,7,7;40:7,12;                  42:12;44:17,19,22;                  46:13;48:2  <b>derail (1)</b>                  28:25  <b>described (1)</b>                  37:1  <b>despite (1)</b>                  9:21  <b>details (1)</b>                  41:16  <b>determination (3)</b>                  20:9;24:19;50:4  <b>determine (3)</b>                  11:8;20:5;48:3  <b>determined (2)</b>                  22:24;34:4  <b>determining (1)</b>                  11:19  <b>Deutsche (7)</b>                  5:21;46:7,8,15,16;                  47:8,14  <b>difference (1)</b>                  26:3  <b>different (4)</b>                  20:1;28:2;33:21;                  50:5  <b>digital (1)</b>                  45:22  <b>disagree (2)</b>                  28:24;29:13  <b>disclosure (4)</b>                  23:23;33:12;                  38:24;39:15  <b>discovery (42)</b>                  7:8;8:16,18,19;                  9:5;18:14,20,22;                  22:8;25:10;27:3,6,                  23;32:24,25;33:3;                  34:24,25;35:8,8,16,                  19,22,25;36:2,8,15;                  41:2,19,21,22;42:10,                  17,25;43:8,14;44:14;                  45:17;46:16;49:6;                  50:7,25  <b>discussed (3)</b>                  8:8;35:9;46:12  <b>discussing (1)</b>                  49:25  <b>discussion (2)</b>                  34:19;48:11</p>	<p><b>discussions (1)</b>                  35:15  <b>dispute (1)</b>                  7:19  <b>disputes (2)</b>                  35:25;36:2  <b>disputing (1)</b>                  22:16  <b>disrupt (2)</b>                  8:16,17  <b>disrupted (1)</b>                  10:13  <b>district (2)</b>                  34:17;36:18  <b>document (7)</b>                  9:14;23:1;28:7;                  35:7;41:2;44:14,25  <b>documents (10)</b>                  9:17;41:5;42:13,                  13;44:23,23;45:4,9,                  20,21  <b>dollar (1)</b>                  11:12  <b>dollars (2)</b>                  11:13;26:3  <b>done (5)</b>                  8:14,19;35:1;                  36:17;50:7  <b>don't' (1)</b>                  23:1  <b>double-dip (1)</b>                  18:21  <b>double-dipping (1)</b>                  19:9  <b>doubt (1)</b>                  45:19  <b>down (2)</b>                  8:21;32:13  <b>dozen (1)</b>                  42:3  <b>draft (1)</b>                  8:22  <b>driven (1)</b>                  28:12  <b>due (1)</b>                  8:17  <b>duplicative (1)</b>                  42:25  <b>duties (1)</b>                  24:14  <b>duty (3)</b>                  25:18,23;32:6  <b>dying (1)</b>                  25:15</p>	<p><b>EATON (1)</b>                  4:17  <b>effect (13)</b>                  12:25;13:5,7;17:5,                  5;18:7;20:18,22,25;                  25:14;37:21;49:16,                  23  <b>effort (3)</b>                  8:9;10:10;18:21  <b>either (5)</b>                  10:15;34:1,5,24;                  44:5  <b>else (9)</b>                  14:23;17:12,23;                  36:20;39:25;43:18;                  48:7;50:2,17  <b>elsewhere (1)</b>                  39:24  <b>e-mail (2)</b>                  9:20;10:2  <b>embodied (1)</b>                  33:19  <b>EMMA (1)</b>                  4:19  <b>emphasize (1)</b>                  14:13  <b>ends (1)</b>                  51:2  <b>enough (1)</b>                  11:24  <b>ensure (1)</b>                  12:20  <b>enter (2)</b>                  12:20;21:11  <b>entered (2)</b>                  32:19;34:13  <b>entering (11)</b>                  20:6,10;22:6,10,                  22;24:10;25:23;                  30:1,22;38:15;49:18  <b>enters (3)</b>                  13:5,6;24:3  <b>entirely (1)</b>                  19:5  <b>entitled (2)</b>                  28:23;29:2  <b>especially (1)</b>                  12:13  <b>ESQ (12)</b>                  4:7,16,17,18,19;                  5:7,16,17,25;6:7,15,                  16  <b>essence (1)</b>                  21:8  <b>essentially (3)</b>                  11:14;19:9;47:10  <b>estates (1)</b>                  19:21  <b>estimates (1)</b>                  26:8  <b>et (2)</b>                  33:10;45:22  <b>evaluate (1)</b></p>	<p>45:5  <b>evaluations (1)</b>                  28:9  <b>even (7)</b>                  10:25,25;11:1;                  14:13;18:19;27:10;                  40:9  <b>evening (2)</b>                  9:22;18:24  <b>eventually (1)</b>                  22:10  <b>everybody (2)</b>                  27:11;51:1  <b>everyplace (1)</b>                  42:1  <b>evidence (1)</b>                  32:23  <b>eviscerates (1)</b>                  24:20  <b>exactly (4)</b>                  10:4;11:20;14:24;                  46:22  <b>examine (2)</b>                  40:23;43:5  <b>example (1)</b>                  12:25  <b>excess (1)</b>                  26:1  <b>excluding (1)</b>                  42:10  <b>exclusion (1)</b>                  28:13  <b>expedite (1)</b>                  33:18  <b>expert (1)</b>                  26:10  <b>experts (3)</b>                  26:4,8;28:8  <b>explanation (1)</b>                  29:23  <b>extend (1)</b>                  10:4  <b>extending (1)</b>                  10:6  <b>extent (10)</b>                  34:23;35:4,21,23;                  42:4;43:10;44:21,                  22;49:7;50:6  <b>extract (1)</b>                  42:15  <b>extremely (3)</b>                  8:5,15;22:25</p>	<p><b>fairness (1)</b>                  10:14  <b>faith (18)</b>                  20:6,10;21:3;22:7,                  11,22;29:12,25;                  30:13,13,22;31:4;                  32:9;37:9,13;38:1;                  45:20;49:17  <b>false (1)</b>                  22:8  <b>far (1)</b>                  32:12  <b>Fargo (4)</b>                  5:11;14:9;15:8;                  47:25  <b>FARR (3)</b>                  4:10;21:22;49:5  <b>federal (1)</b>                  36:18  <b>FGIC (50)</b>                  5:3;8:11,25;9:24;                  10:1,16,18,22;11:1,                  5,7,9,11,15,24;12:5,                  5,9;13:7;14:11;15:4,                  5;16:21,25;17:13,15,                  17;23:24;24:1;                  25:24;26:9;28:20;                  30:8,24;33:19;35:12,                  13;42:1,4,8,9,16;                  44:12,14,18,23;                  46:24,24;47:8;49:12  <b>FGIC's (2)</b>                  17:14;33:14  <b>FGIC-wrapped (2)</b>                  17:19;19:15  <b>fiduciary (3)</b>                  25:17,23;32:6  <b>fifty (1)</b>                  26:1  <b>fight (1)</b>                  14:23  <b>file (1)</b>                  42:12  <b>filed (1)</b>                  7:18  <b>filings (1)</b>                  26:4  <b>final (2)</b>                  20:22;38:20  <b>finalized (1)</b>                  9:16  <b>financial (1)</b>                  26:9  <b>finding (7)</b>                  21:3;22:21;24:9,                  16;30:12,14;31:9  <b>findings (27)</b>                  12:17,18,18,23;                  13:6,12;18:5,5;                  21:17;22:5;23:13,                  16;25:5;28:13,13,15,                  16;30:17;32:17,24;                  33:20;37:7,38:15;</p>
	<b>E</b>		<b>F</b>	
	<p><b>earlier (2)</b>                  17:1;42:22  <b>early (1)</b>                  36:11  <b>East (1)</b>                  5:4</p>	<p><b>fact (2)</b>                  13:1;17:16  <b>factual (3)</b>                  30:12,14,17  <b>fair (3)</b>                  14:20;17:21;40:23  <b>fairly (2)</b>                  37:20;43:8</p>		

39:23;40:22,25; 49:14 <b>fine (5)</b> 36:9,15,16;42:16, 24 <b>firm (1)</b> 7:11 <b>first (6)</b> 20:5;24:23;28:15; 32:16;33:6;37:18 <b>focus (1)</b> 11:25 <b>focused (3)</b> 22:1;32:22;33:3 <b>focusing (1)</b> 22:19 <b>Foerster (3)</b> 7:6;48:10;50:11 <b>follow (2)</b> 7:7;34:20 <b>followed (1)</b> 34:11 <b>following (3)</b> 32:20,21;46:12 <b>force (1)</b> 36:16 <b>foregone (1)</b> 24:5 <b>forget (1)</b> 11:12 <b>format (1)</b> 45:22 <b>forthcoming (1)</b> 33:13 <b>forty-seven (3)</b> 15:9,11,12 <b>forum (3)</b> 16:23;18:15;41:11 <b>forward (6)</b> 19:20;28:4;40:13; 42:14,14,20 <b>found (1)</b> 41:25 <b>four (1)</b> 9:8 <b>four-month-old (1)</b> 43:25 <b>frankly (4)</b> 9:3,10;14:19; 46:17 <b>Friday (15)</b> 7:8,22;8:3,8,21; 9:6,22;18:23;33:3, 24;35:10,25;41:2; 44:16;45:4 <b>front (1)</b> 12:2 <b>fulfill (1)</b> 24:14 <b>Full (4)</b> 10:8;14:20;15:23; 17:20 <b>fullest (1)</b>	35:3 <b>fully (2)</b> 23:22;43:6 <b>fulsome (1)</b> 45:17 <b>fundamental (1)</b> 27:18 <b>further (1)</b> 43:5 <b>future (1)</b> 26:9	<b>G</b>	<b>GA (1)</b> 5:14 <b>gain (2)</b> 27:2,19 <b>GALLAGHER (2)</b> 4:10;21:22 <b>game (1)</b> 40:23 <b>GARRITY (10)</b> 5:25;45:25;46:2,5, 6,6,20,25;47:2,4 <b>generally (3)</b> 33:4;35:24;36:2 <b>gets (1)</b> 12:24 <b>given (2)</b> 17:16;32:2 <b>gives (1)</b> 33:8 <b>giving (1)</b> 28:9 <b>Glenn (1)</b> 21:2 <b>global (1)</b> 28:20 <b>goes (1)</b> 23:25 <b>Good (24)</b> 7:5;14:8;20:6,10; 21:2,21,23;22:7,11, 22;29:12,25;30:13, 13,22;31:4;32:9; 37:9,13;38:1;44:11; 45:20;46:6;49:17 <b>grant (2)</b> 34:17,18 <b>granting (1)</b> 27:11 <b>great (1)</b> 47:16 <b>greater (1)</b> 37:22 <b>group (1)</b> 16:12 <b>guess (2)</b> 36:5;47:13	<b>H</b>	<b>half (1)</b> 42:3 <b>handle (1)</b> 35:24 <b>hands (1)</b> 45:8 <b>happen (3)</b> 17:6;33:10;35:5 <b>happens (4)</b> 18:7,8;38:13,14 <b>head (1)</b> 16:19 <b>headlong (1)</b> 40:7 <b>hear (10)</b> 11:20;14:6,7;20:2; 21:19;29:6;34:7,8; 35:21;45:24 <b>heard (16)</b> 8:1;14:21;17:11, 12,17,21;18:9,17; 31:15;32:8;39:25; 43:18;47:12;48:8; 50:3,17 <b>hearing (20)</b> 7:16;16:22,25; 18:1;19:1;20:3;25:9; 29:4;32:8,10;33:1, 14,25;34:3;35:11; 36:15;41:20;42:5; 43:9;49:6 <b>hearings (3)</b> 27:5;34:20;36:13 <b>heart (3)</b> 24:20;25:20;27:20 <b>help (1)</b> 7:14 <b>herein (1)</b> 23:21 <b>highlighted (1)</b> 9:13 <b>hit (1)</b> 16:18 <b>hold (3)</b> 20:2;26:25;31:7 <b>holders (2)</b> 17:18;19:22 <b>Honor (94)</b> 7:5,7,10,13,22;8:4, 8,15,18;9:10,19; 10:3,9,23;11:17; 12:3,7,10;13:14,20, 25;14:8,24;15:11,25; 16:17,18;17:1,3,8, 12,22;18:4,9,19,23; 19:5,8,16,20,23; 21:5,10,16,21,25; 22:5,20,25;23:8,15; 24:9;25:5,13;27:9, 12,14,23;29:9,14,18, 20;30:3,9,13,25; 31:2,10,19,23;32:14; 36:21,25;38:5,16;	39:4;40:2,14,16; 41:9;43:17,20;44:8, 11;45:3;46:6,9,25; 47:15,22;48:9;50:10, 16,21 <b>hope (1)</b> 25:8 <b>hopefully (2)</b> 33:13;44:24 <b>HOWARD (2)</b> 5:7;44:11 <b>HSBC (2)</b> 4:3;47:7 <b>hundred (3)</b> 15:16,19;16:5 <b>hypothetically (1)</b> 20:2	<b>I</b>	<b>idea (2)</b> 27:25;28:1 <b>identified (1)</b> 9:15 <b>identity (1)</b> 9:7 <b>immediately (1)</b> 40:3 <b>impacted (1)</b> 40:3 <b>impaired (1)</b> 24:24 <b>import (6)</b> 29:14;30:5,14; 37:20;39:1;40:5 <b>important (2)</b> 11:15;19:19 <b>imprimatur (1)</b> 19:17 <b>inappropriate (1)</b> 19:6 <b>include (1)</b> 42:8 <b>included (1)</b> 49:8 <b>includes (2)</b> 42:3,21 <b>including (3)</b> 9:25;23:24;24:1 <b>incorporates (1)</b> 49:19 <b>indicated (5)</b> 10:2;12:16;24:7; 50:1,4 <b>indicates (1)</b> 12:12 <b>infant (1)</b> 43:25 <b>information (1)</b> 47:11 <b>initial (3)</b> 16:6;34:12;43:3 <b>initially (1)</b>	11:25 <b>insisted (1)</b> 17:15 <b>insofar (1)</b> 19:14 <b>instance (1)</b> 20:5 <b>instead (1)</b> 40:8 <b>insulate (1)</b> 22:13 <b>insurance (4)</b> 11:22;15:4,5; 26:24 <b>insure (1)</b> 17:10 <b>insurers (1)</b> 28:22 <b>insures (1)</b> 18:6 <b>intend (1)</b> 21:6 <b>intended (1)</b> 49:20 <b>interest (10)</b> 8:14;17:23;19:21; 22:23;24:11,15,17, 20;25:12;31:5 <b>interests (7)</b> 19:22;22:7;32:9; 37:9,13;38:1;49:18 <b>interim (1)</b> 9:17 <b>interlocutory (2)</b> 38:23;39:5 <b>into (22)</b> 11:22;12:17,20; 20:6,10,20;21:1; 22:6,10,22;23:9; 24:10;25:23;30:1, 22;32:19;34:3;40:7; 41:14,19;49:18;50:3 <b>investors (7)</b> 11:21;14:14; 30:21;35:16;42:23; 43:4;50:2 <b>investors' (1)</b> 35:11 <b>investor's (3)</b> 12:23;14:7;21:19 <b>involved (6)</b> 7:25;13:16;14:10; 28:19;36:5;43:23 <b>involvement (1)</b> 16:19 <b>involving (1)</b> 10:12 <b>iPad (1)</b> 41:25 <b>issue (19)</b> 7:14,21,23;8:1; 10:19,24;12:1,4,7; 20:12;21:4,22;15;
--	---	----------	--	----------	---	---	----------	---	---

24:13;34:18;37:9; 42:5,5;45:4;47:7 <b>issues (31)</b> 7:9,15;8:10,13; 9:1,12;10:17;12:11; 19:9;21:14;22:19; 33:1,3,18,22,25; 34:3,14;35:2,22; 37:8;40:15;42:5; 43:5,7,9,10;45:18; 49:1;50:5,25	14:3,5;48:8,9,17, 19:50:9,10,10,14 <b>KIBLER (6)</b> 4:7;47:6,6,16,18, 20 <b>KISSEL (1)</b> 6:10 <b>Kissell (2)</b> 43:21;47:23 <b>Kit (1)</b> 15:25 <b>knew (1)</b> 23:3 <b>Kruger (4)</b> 9:9,23;48:12,13 <b>Kruger's (1)</b> 9:11	<b>limits (1)</b> 36:9 <b>lines (1)</b> 21:11 <b>Ling-Cohan (9)</b> 8:2;20:21;21:13; 27:7;34:9,22;36:14; 49:25;50:14 <b>listen (1)</b> 34:21 <b>litigating (1)</b> 24:23 <b>litigation (1)</b> 34:16 <b>little (2)</b> 28:24;29:1 <b>LLC (1)</b> 4:11 <b>LLP (6)</b> 4:2,10;5:10,20; 6:2,10 <b>long (1)</b> 10:10 <b>longer (1)</b> 21:3 <b>look (7)</b> 19:25;23:20;26:4; 37:18;41:13;48:14, 22 <b>looking (2)</b> 20:16;23:19 <b>losing (1)</b> 26:2 <b>losses (1)</b> 26:9 <b>lost (1)</b> 25:16 <b>lot (1)</b> 28:19 <b>LP (1)</b> 4:12	8:18;20:3,7,7,8,8; 28:11;29:9;31:17; 32:24;34:4,7;35:8; 39:19;43:2,24;50:5 <b>maybe (1)</b> 48:11 <b>MAYER (1)</b> 6:2 <b>mean (18)</b> 11:24;13:21,24; 14:19;19:25;20:2, 25:21;7:22;3,18; 27:14;28:16;30:10, 11;33:15;36:8;49:1, 4 <b>meaning (1)</b> 49:21 <b>means (1)</b> 10:4 <b>meant (1)</b> 27:15 <b>mediation (5)</b> 10:12,23;23:2,9; 28:21 <b>meet (1)</b> 46:16 <b>mentioned (1)</b> 42:1 <b>MICHAEL (3)</b> 5:17;6:7;14:8 <b>microphone (1)</b> 36:24 <b>mid-sentence (1)</b> 51:2 <b>might (3)</b> 14:17;18:9;32:12 <b>milestones (1)</b> 33:10 <b>million (3)</b> 11:13;26:3,6 <b>minimized (1)</b> 39:7 <b>minute (2)</b> 18:10;31:19 <b>misplaced (1)</b> 8:9 <b>modified (2)</b> 18:5;30:15 <b>moment (2)</b> 9:10;46:21 <b>Monarch (8)</b> 4:12;7:17;10:14; 21:24;29:24;44:13; 46:14;49:3 <b>Monarch's (2)</b> 9:20;48:1 <b>money (1)</b> 26:3 <b>monoline (1)</b> 28:22 <b>more (9)</b> 19:19;22:22;26:1; 29:6;31:4;34:15;	36:23;37:3;45:17 <b>MORGAN (2)</b> 5:20;46:7 <b>morning (9)</b> 7:5,16;14:8;21:21, 23;40:6;44:11;46:6; 48:11 <b>Morrison (3)</b> 7:6;48:9;50:10 <b>most (4)</b> 7:21;12:12;40:3; 45:21 <b>mother (2)</b> 43:25;44:6 <b>motion (14)</b> 7:9;8:19,24,25; 10:16,25;12:14; 13:11;16:22;18:15; 19:10;23:24,24;24:1 <b>motions (1)</b> 19:12 <b>move (2)</b> 16:1;42:14 <b>moved (1)</b> 32:22 <b>moving (2)</b> 19:20;28:4 <b>much (7)</b> 16:15;22:22; 35:16;42:9;45:18; 50:20;51:1 <b>multiple (2)</b> 40:17;41:10 <b>must (2)</b> 13:16;28:16 <b>myself (1)</b> 18:11
<b>J</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>N</b>	
<b>JAMES (2)</b> 4:19;5:25 <b>Jim (1)</b> 46:6 <b>JOHN (3)</b> 4:7;5:16;47:6 <b>JOHNSON (59)</b> 5:17;14:8,9,18,24; 15:1,2,5,7,10,14,16, 19,22;16:16,17;18:4, 12,13;19:8,13,16; 21:5;24:7;29:6,14, 16,17,18,19,20;30:2, 5,8,12,17,25;31:8,10, 13;32:14;37:11,18, 23;40:1,2,5,11,14,19, 21;41:9,14,16,18,24; 43:17;49:11;50:1 <b>joint (4)</b> 34:19;36:13,14,15 <b>JONES (2)</b> 5:2;44:12 <b>JOSEPH (2)</b> 4:18;21:21 <b>JR (2)</b> 5:25;6:15 <b>Judge (13)</b> 10:12;20:19,21,21, 24;21:1,1,13;25:9, 11;34:16;37:1;43:13 <b>judge'll (1)</b> 36:10 <b>July (1)</b> 17:24 <b>June (12)</b> 8:12;18:7;19:14; 25:3;33:1;34:2; 35:21;42:6,14,23; 43:7;49:8 <b>Justice (7)</b> 8:1;27:7;34:9,22; 36:14;49:25;50:14	<b>label (1)</b> 11:21 <b>language (21)</b> 8:22;9:2,2;13:3,4, 9;17:8;21:6,7;23:19, 20;24:4,6;29:16; 30:14,19;37:6,19; 39:8;42:8;49:7 <b>large (1)</b> 28:25 <b>last (1)</b> 43:24 <b>later (1)</b> 35:18 <b>launch (1)</b> 32:10 <b>law (2)</b> 20:18;47:23 <b>least (1)</b> 26:5 <b>length (1)</b> 35:6 <b>less (1)</b> 29:3 <b>letter (11)</b> 7:10;8:9;9:13,19, 21;12:11;17:9; 21:17;45:3;47:10; 49:8 <b>letters (6)</b> 7:12,17;33:24; 35:15;49:2,4 <b>LEWIS (2)</b> 5:20;46:7 <b>lie (1)</b> 9:1 <b>light (1)</b> 48:10 <b>limit (2)</b> 35:6;39:23 <b>limited (6)</b> 8:5,19;9:14;18:20; 32:25;40:14	<b>main (1)</b> 27:19 <b>makes (2)</b> 25:5;49:15 <b>Management (2)</b> 4:11;21:25 <b>many (2)</b> 15:3;36:4 <b>MARC (1)</b> 4:16 <b>MARTINEZ (1)</b> 6:7 <b>MARY (1)</b> 4:17 <b>matter (2)</b> 25:11;35:6 <b>matters (2)</b> 36:13,18 <b>May (17)</b>	<b>NA (2)</b> 4:3;5:11 <b>nail (1)</b> 16:18 <b>narrow (3)</b> 42:17;43:8,21 <b>narrowed (1)</b> 44:24 <b>narrower (1)</b> 45:19 <b>narrowly (1)</b> 43:9 <b>National (1)</b> 46:8 <b>necessarily (2)</b> 19:11,23 <b>necessary (4)</b> 8:19;9:23;12:19; 48:12 <b>need (5)</b> 22:8;33:18;35:15, 19;46:15 <b>needs (2)</b>	
<b>K</b>				
<b>KERR (26)</b> 7:5,5,13;10:7,9,19; 11:6,13,17;12:3; 13:9,14,20,22,24;				

<p>34:17;40:12 <b>negotiate (1)</b> 9:16 <b>negotiated (2)</b> 10:10;42:7 <b>negotiating (3)</b> 7:25;20:6,10 <b>negotiations (2)</b> 12:19;46:18 <b>New (10)</b> 4:5,14;5:5,23;6:5, 13;8:2;43:24;44:6,7 <b>next (4)</b> 41:11,20;45:24; 47:5 <b>night (2)</b> 8:21;9:6 <b>none (1)</b> 46:23 <b>nonsettling (1)</b> 16:6 <b>note (1)</b> 18:10 <b>noted (1)</b> 7:22 <b>notice (4)</b> 17:16,18;19:1; 47:9 <b>number (4)</b> 7:3;15:7;28:18; 35:7 <b>numbers (1)</b> 16:1 <b>nursing (1)</b> 43:25 <b>NY (6)</b> 4:5,14;5:5,23;6:5, 13</p>	<p>41:23 <b>o'clock (2)</b> 43:19;48:25 <b>off (5)</b> 14:17;33:4;37:19; 46:21,22 <b>offense (1)</b> 22:1 <b>offer (1)</b> 26:10 <b>offered (1)</b> 9:9 <b>off-the-record (1)</b> 50:23 <b>once (4)</b> 35:1,5;40:9;50:8 <b>One (20)</b> 6:12;14:10;16:9, 12,24;17:14;20:16; 22:16;28:10;29:1; 31:19;34:6,15;36:21, 23;37:3;43:1;44:4; 46:2;47:24 <b>ones (1)</b> 45:5 <b>only (10)</b> 9:22;22:6;35:1,5; 37:16;38:14;40:8; 43:3;49:20;50:7 <b>opine (1)</b> 19:17 <b>opportunities (2)</b> 18:16;40:17 <b>opportunity (8)</b> 8:13;14:21;17:10, 17,21,25;24:17; 25:10 <b>oppose (1)</b> 22:17 <b>order (20)</b> 8:22;9:16;12:18; 20:17,23;21:10,11, 12,13;22:8,12;24:4; 30:15;37:22;38:20, 23;41:3,6;49:9,13 <b>original (1)</b> 16:3 <b>others (2)</b> 20:3;27:2 <b>ought (6)</b> 35:23;43:15,16; 45:14;48:21;50:7 <b>Ours (1)</b> 26:24 <b>out (19)</b> 14:16,23;15:12; 17:18;21:8;28:18; 30:15;41:3,6;43:16, 24;45:12,14;47:2; 48:14,16,17,21; 50:24 <b>outcome (1)</b> 33:6</p>	<p><b>outset (1)</b> 14:12 <b>outside (1)</b> 48:14 <b>over (3)</b> 7:11;21:14;44:16 <b>overall (2)</b> 10:22;12:19 <b>overlap (1)</b> 50:6 <b>overreaching (1)</b> 41:8 <b>overruled (1)</b> 49:6 <b>OVERY (2)</b> 4:2;47:6 <b>own (1)</b> 22:13</p>	<p><b>Perfect (1)</b> 47:20 <b>perhaps (2)</b> 11:1;39:7 <b>period (4)</b> 20:23;27:23,25; 28:1 <b>permit (5)</b> 35:18;36:9;42:25; 44:19,21 <b>person (1)</b> 43:23 <b>perspective (2)</b> 14:13;19:19 <b>phone (2)</b> 7:7;33:2 <b>piece (3)</b> 26:5;28:24;29:1 <b>place (1)</b> 28:16 <b>plan (19)</b> 7:9;8:7;10:11; 12:14,20;16:7,14; 23:24;33:8,13,19; 34:2;38:25,25;42:20, 21;49:17,19;50:3 <b>planning (1)</b> 32:5 <b>plans (1)</b> 36:7 <b>Plaza (1)</b> 6:12 <b>Please (3)</b> 7:2;31:20;36:24 <b>point (4)</b> 27:9;41:1;50:3,18 <b>points (1)</b> 37:12 <b>policies (1)</b> 26:25 <b>portion (2)</b> 30:23,23 <b>posed (1)</b> 17:4 <b>position (2)</b> 47:11,13 <b>possession (1)</b> 45:21 <b>possible (2)</b> 29:22;35:4 <b>power (1)</b> 32:12 <b>preclude (3)</b> 23:17,18;39:21 <b>precluded (8)</b> 23:21;27:17; 29:11,24;30:21; 31:23;32:8;37:12 <b>preclusion (1)</b> 14:20 <b>preclusive (10)</b> 12:25;13:5,7;18:7; 20:18,22,25;25:14;</p>	<p>37:21;49:16 <b>pre-judge (1)</b> 43:15 <b>prejudice (1)</b> 23:21 <b>preliminary (1)</b> 41:21 <b>prepare (1)</b> 17:25 <b>prepared (2)</b> 26:10;27:3 <b>presented (1)</b> 33:6 <b>preserve (2)</b> 28:14,15 <b>prevent (1)</b> 8:24 <b>previous (1)</b> 24:9 <b>principal (1)</b> 48:2 <b>prior (1)</b> 35:11 <b>problem (2)</b> 18:19;24:21 <b>procedures (5)</b> 34:10,20,23; 36:15;49:6 <b>proceed (4)</b> 9:15,17;18:13; 45:19 <b>proceeding (15)</b> 14:11,11;16:24; 17:1,6,7,20;33:15; 34:25;36:5,25; 38:10;39:20;49:21, 21 <b>proceedings (5)</b> 23:17;35:4;49:22, 25;51:3 <b>process (9)</b> 10:13;13:18,23; 14:15;16:18,19; 17:4;20:1;29:1 <b>produce (2)</b> 41:5;44:23 <b>produced (3)</b> 9:18;42:13;45:15 <b>professionals (1)</b> 9:25 <b>propose (1)</b> 30:19 <b>proposed (19)</b> 8:21;12:17;13:3,4; 18:6;19:24;21:7,7, 11,17;23:20,23;24:6; 29:16;30:6,15; 37:19;43:22;49:7 <b>proposing (1)</b> 37:6 <b>prosecute (1)</b> 23:22 <b>protect (3)</b></p>
<b>P</b>				
<p><b>O</b></p> <p><b>object (4)</b> 11:23;17:24; 24:17;39:12 <b>objectants (6)</b> 17:10,22;18:16, 21;19:4;21:9 <b>objecting (3)</b> 23:16,16;42:24 <b>objection (6)</b> 18:1;23:22;34:8; 50:1,11,16 <b>objections (4)</b> 34:21;49:4,5,24 <b>obligations (1)</b> 25:18 <b>obvious (1)</b> 35:15 <b>obviously (2)</b> 35:2;42:7 <b>occur (1)</b> 18:18 <b>occurring (1)</b></p>	<p><b>page (1)</b> 49:8 <b>Park (2)</b> 5:22;6:12 <b>part (7)</b> 10:11,22;11:15; 12:1,19;14:15;16:6 <b>participating (1)</b> 14:17 <b>participation (1)</b> 14:15 <b>particularly (2)</b> 19:23;21:6 <b>parties (11)</b> 9:25;10:12;18:24; 33:7,9;36:1,4,6; 39:24;42:19;44:15 <b>party (5)</b> 23:2;42:23;46:10, 13,17 <b>party-in-interest's (1)</b> 23:22 <b>Pause (3)</b> 31:22;46:4;48:23 <b>pay (2)</b> 11:11;42:10 <b>paying (1)</b> 11:24 <b>payment (9)</b> 8:5;11:9,9;12:5; 16:21;18:18;19:18; 41:16,19 <b>PDF (1)</b> 41:25 <b>Peachtree (1)</b> 5:12 <b>Peck (1)</b> 10:12 <b>per (1)</b> 14:14 <b>percent (1)</b> 26:1</p>	<p><b>page (1)</b> 49:8 <b>Park (2)</b> 5:22;6:12 <b>part (7)</b> 10:11,22;11:15; 12:1,19;14:15;16:6 <b>participating (1)</b> 14:17 <b>participation (1)</b> 14:15 <b>particularly (2)</b> 19:23;21:6 <b>parties (11)</b> 9:25;10:12;18:24; 33:7,9;36:1,4,6; 39:24;42:19;44:15 <b>party (5)</b> 23:2;42:23;46:10, 13,17 <b>party-in-interest's (1)</b> 23:22 <b>Pause (3)</b> 31:22;46:4;48:23 <b>pay (2)</b> 11:11;42:10 <b>paying (1)</b> 11:24 <b>payment (9)</b> 8:5;11:9,9;12:5; 16:21;18:18;19:18; 41:16,19 <b>PDF (1)</b> 41:25 <b>Peachtree (1)</b> 5:12 <b>Peck (1)</b> 10:12 <b>per (1)</b> 14:14 <b>percent (1)</b> 26:1</p>	<p><b>page (1)</b> 49:8 <b>Park (2)</b> 5:22;6:12 <b>part (7)</b> 10:11,22;11:15; 12:1,19;14:15;16:6 <b>participating (1)</b> 14:17 <b>participation (1)</b> 14:15 <b>particularly (2)</b> 19:23;21:6 <b>parties (11)</b> 9:25;10:12;18:24; 33:7,9;36:1,4,6; 39:24;42:19;44:15 <b>party (5)</b> 23:2;42:23;46:10, 13,17 <b>party-in-interest's (1)</b> 23:22 <b>Pause (3)</b> 31:22;46:4;48:23 <b>pay (2)</b> 11:11;42:10 <b>paying (1)</b> 11:24 <b>payment (9)</b> 8:5;11:9,9;12:5; 16:21;18:18;19:18; 41:16,19 <b>PDF (1)</b> 41:25 <b>Peachtree (1)</b> 5:12 <b>Peck (1)</b> 10:12 <b>per (1)</b> 14:14 <b>percent (1)</b> 26:1</p>	<p><b>page (1)</b> 49:8 <b>Park (2)</b> 5:22;6:12 <b>part (7)</b> 10:11,22;11:15; 12:1,19;14:15;16:6 <b>participating (1)</b> 14:17 <b>participation (1)</b> 14:15 <b>particularly (2)</b> 19:23;21:6 <b>parties (11)</b> 9:25;10:12;18:24; 33:7,9;36:1,4,6; 39:24;42:19;44:15 <b>party (5)</b> 23:2;42:23;46:10, 13,17 <b>party-in-interest's (1)</b> 23:22 <b>Pause (3)</b> 31:22;46:4;48:23 <b>pay (2)</b> 11:11;42:10 <b>paying (1)</b> 11:24 <b>payment (9)</b> 8:5;11:9,9;12:5; 16:21;18:18;19:18; 41:16,19 <b>PDF (1)</b> 41:25 <b>Peachtree (1)</b> 5:12 <b>Peck (1)</b> 10:12 <b>per (1)</b> 14:14 <b>percent (1)</b> 26:1</p>

<p>8:14,23;22:12 <b>protective (2)</b> 41:3,6 <b>prove (2)</b> 12:14;27:3 <b>provide (1)</b> 47:11 <b>proving (1)</b> 21:2 <b>provisions (1)</b> 32:17 <b>PSA (42)</b> 8:19,22,24;12:24; 13:6;16:22,22; 18:15;19:3,10,12,21; 20:4,10,19;21:2; 22:6,10,22;24:6,10; 29:4;30:19;32:16, 19;33:2,5,6,9,12; 34:13,14;41:20;42:2, 3,15,20;49:6,9,10,15, 18 <b>PSA' (1)</b> 43:14 <b>purposes (8)</b> 20:9;37:7;39:16, 17,18;43:2,3;44:13 <b>pursue (1)</b> 27:16 <b>put (7)</b> 8:9;19:11;36:9; 42:5;45:22;46:20,22</p>	<p>8:8;25:19 <b>receive (1)</b> 18:25 <b>received (4)</b> 7:10;18:25;26:1; 47:9 <b>recognize (1)</b> 33:20 <b>recognized (1)</b> 32:24 <b>recommended (1)</b> 17:8 <b>record (5)</b> 33:3,4;38:9;49:11, 13 <b>recover (1)</b> 26:11 <b>references (1)</b> 42:3 <b>regarding (2)</b> 7:8;36:15 <b>regular (1)</b> 35:6 <b>rehabilitation (18)</b> 7:24;10:15;11:19, 23;12:2,13;13:1,7, 14;14:11;17:2;20:8, 12;22:18;33:15; 34:2,25;43:12 <b>rehabilitator (2)</b> 17:15,17 <b>reiterated (1)</b> 9:20 <b>rejected (1)</b> 9:2 <b>related (2)</b> 7:9;42:16 <b>relating (1)</b> 43:22 <b>relationship (2)</b> 46:10;47:25 <b>relevant (5)</b> 19:10;21:4;35:9; 42:6;44:22 <b>relitigate (1)</b> 24:12 <b>remaining (1)</b> 50:24 <b>remember (1)</b> 32:20 <b>removed (5)</b> 10:24;13:17,21,23, 25 <b>repeat (1)</b> 33:4 <b>repeats (1)</b> 24:1 <b>represent (1)</b> 14:9 <b>representing (2)</b> 47:7;49:11 <b>request (3)</b> 9:14;43:21;44:25</p>	<p><b>requested (5)</b> 13:5,6;33:21; 40:20;44:1 <b>requests (1)</b> 44:14 <b>require (3)</b> 34:14;42:19;44:6 <b>required (1)</b> 34:12 <b>requires (2)</b> 33:16;34:16 <b>ResCap (2)</b> 14:10;49:21 <b>reserve (1)</b> 43:4 <b>Residential (1)</b> 7:3 <b>resolve (5)</b> 28:22;35:22;36:2; 44:24;46:17 <b>resolved (2)</b> 12:4;41:4 <b>respect (24)</b> 8:17;11:5;12:8,14; 13:12;16:21;20:4; 23:23;30:24;32:17; 33:1,18,21;34:13,24; 35:12,16;37:11,24; 43:11;45:15;47:12; 49:1,17 <b>responded (1)</b> 47:9 <b>response (1)</b> 50:19 <b>retain (1)</b> 25:21 <b>reviewing (1)</b> 33:24 <b>revised (1)</b> 21:16 <b>right (25)</b> 7:2,3;11:16,18; 12:10;14:4;15:10; 16:2,16;22:17;25:1, 16,20;27:21;28:2,5; 43:5;45:7,24;46:19; 47:1,17;50:17,20,22 <b>rights (11)</b> 23:22;24:23; 26:22;27:15,18; 28:10,14,23;29:2,2; 33:9 <b>RMBS (1)</b> 6:11 <b>role (1)</b> 16:14 <b>round (1)</b> 43:3 <b>rules (1)</b> 14:20 <b>ruling (5)</b> 19:20;25:11; 34:10;44:13;49:20</p>	<p><b>run (1)</b> 21:10 <b>running (1)</b> 21:12 <b>rush (1)</b> 40:7</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>S</b></p> <p><b>Same (9)</b> 31:8,10,11,12,14; 42:6;47:13,15;48:6 <b>saw (1)</b> 32:17 <b>saying (5)</b> 16:17;24:20; 25:12;27:15;44:15 <b>scenario (1)</b> 26:8 <b>schedule (8)</b> 8:17;10:4,6;18:14; 27:6;32:13;40:8; 47:18 <b>scheduled (3)</b> 18:1;28:6;42:12 <b>scope (3)</b> 35:7;41:2;44:24 <b>se (1)</b> 14:14 <b>search (1)</b> 41:25 <b>searchable (1)</b> 45:22 <b>searched (1)</b> 41:25 <b>seated (1)</b> 7:2 <b>second (1)</b> 46:2 <b>securities (1)</b> 34:15 <b>seek (1)</b> 21:9 <b>seeking (1)</b> 32:18 <b>seeks (1)</b> 33:7 <b>seem (2)</b> 33:23;43:7 <b>seemed (1)</b> 12:1 <b>seems (3)</b> 22:16;30:10;37:1 <b>sense (3)</b> 38:23;43:2;47:8 <b>sent (2)</b> 12:11;45:3 <b>set (3)</b> 8:17;33:22;50:22 <b>settle (1)</b> 30:22 <b>settled (2)</b> 23:10;26:22</p>	<p><b>settlement (42)</b> 8:11,25;10:16,18, 22;11:1,5,7,11,16,20, 23;12:5,6,7;13:1; 16:22,25;17:16,23, 24;20:7,13;22:11,17; 23:25;24:2;25:23; 26:2,13,15,18,18,19; 28:21;30:1,23;35:12, 13;42:7,43;11;45:6 <b>settling (2)</b> 16:3;26:20 <b>Seventh (1)</b> 4:13 <b>several (4)</b> 9:24;15:16,19; 16:5 <b>SEWARD (3)</b> 6:10;43:20;47:23 <b>shall (1)</b> 23:21 <b>sheets (4)</b> 32:16;33:8;42:8, 16 <b>short (2)</b> 31:13;45:16 <b>shortcut (1)</b> 31:13 <b>shots (1)</b> 41:10 <b>show (1)</b> 7:15 <b>sic (2)</b> 46:8,8 <b>side (3)</b> 46:21,22;47:12 <b>sideline (1)</b> 23:6 <b>SIDMAN (9)</b> 5:7;44:11,11,20; 45:1,3,8,11,13 <b>signed (1)</b> 42:18 <b>significantly (1)</b> 22:23 <b>similar (2)</b> 47:7,23 <b>simply (4)</b> 10:20;11:9;12:18; 25:21 <b>single (2)</b> 23:1;28:7 <b>sit (1)</b> 32:13 <b>sitting (1)</b> 23:6 <b>situation (1)</b> 47:24 <b>slow (1)</b> 25:15 <b>so- (1)</b> 16:5 <b>solely (1)</b></p>
<b>R</b>				
<p><b>raise (6)</b> 8:13,14;33:21,25; 37:8,9 <b>raised (2)</b> 34:18;49:2 <b>raising (2)</b> 8:11;22:19 <b>rather (2)</b> 22:2;35:17 <b>reached (1)</b> 41:1 <b>read (4)</b> 7:12;13:3,4;32:16 <b>reading (1)</b> 23:19 <b>real (3)</b> 10:14,24;22:15 <b>reality (1)</b> 45:4 <b>really (10)</b> 9:1;11:25;13:16, 19;23:8,15;25:4; 33:25;41:20,22 <b>reason (1)</b> 14:16 <b>reasonable (3)</b> 27:23,25;28:1 <b>reasons (2)</b></p>				

7:19 <b>somebody (1)</b> 50:22 <b>Somehow (1)</b> 16:11 <b>sometimes (1)</b> 36:1 <b>somewhere (2)</b> 14:23;24:13 <b>soon (1)</b> 33:13 <b>sorry (1)</b> 44:20 <b>sort (1)</b> 47:25 <b>sought (2)</b> 8:15;44:14 <b>SPEAKER (3)</b> 16:12;48:16;50:21 <b>speaking (3)</b> 30:7;35:8;37:1 <b>special (1)</b> 47:25 <b>specific (5)</b> 13:12;40:22;42:8, 9,9 <b>specifically (1)</b> 14:1 <b>specifics (1)</b> 18:13 <b>stage (2)</b> 22:16;27:6 <b>staged (1)</b> 20:1 <b>stages (1)</b> 16:20 <b>standard (2)</b> 20:14,15 <b>standing (3)</b> 14:22;27:10;35:2 <b>standpoint (1)</b> 26:10 <b>start (2)</b> 32:15,16 <b>State (34)</b> 8:2,5;11:4;12:25; 14:1;17:7,11,20; 18:2,8;20:14,20,22, 23;21:1,9;24:25; 25:7,9;27:5;33:16, 16;34:5;35:2;36:5,7, 10,18;37:1,16;38:13; 39:19,22;49:23 <b>stated (2)</b> 37:23;49:12 <b>statement (6)</b> 23:23;33:12; 37:17;38:8,24;39:15 <b>stating (1)</b> 47:10 <b>status (6)</b> 32:18,19;33:14; 34:12;35:12;49:1	<b>steamrolling (1)</b> 29:2 <b>step (2)</b> 10:24;38:22 <b>still (4)</b> 17:25;20:2;22:25; 33:17 <b>Stonehill (5)</b> 4:11;21:25;29:24; 44:13;46:14 <b>Stonehill's (3)</b> 7:17;10:14;49:3 <b>stop (2)</b> 10:8;32:12 <b>Street (2)</b> 5:4,12 <b>stuff (1)</b> 42:13 <b>subject (2)</b> 23:1;41:20 <b>subjects (1)</b> 43:4 <b>submission (1)</b> 22:13 <b>submit (1)</b> 32:23 <b>submitted (1)</b> 19:3 <b>subsequent (2)</b> 23:17;35:24 <b>substance (6)</b> 17:18;18:17; 19:18;33:5;34:10; 50:3 <b>substantially (1)</b> 50:6 <b>substantive (1)</b> 7:20 <b>suggested (2)</b> 13:10;21:11 <b>suggesting (1)</b> 28:14 <b>suggests (1)</b> 37:6 <b>Suite (1)</b> 5:13 <b>support (11)</b> 7:9;8:7;10:11; 12:14,14,20;19:3,12; 32:23;33:7;42:20 <b>supporting (1)</b> 49:19 <b>sure (9)</b> 10:4;11:20;17:19; 20:14;39:6;40:5; 42:22;46:3;47:2 <b>surprise (1)</b> 14:22	<b>talk (2)</b> 9:5;34:23 <b>talked (4)</b> 17:1;35:17;36:7; 40:6 <b>talking (3)</b> 23:12;28:5;32:4 <b>tele (1)</b> 44:2 <b>telephone (3)</b> 33:23;35:25;48:24 <b>ten (1)</b> 29:3 <b>term (4)</b> 32:16;33:8;42:8, 16 <b>terms (7)</b> 16:17;17:12; 19:21;33:8;41:6; 42:21;49:19 <b>testimony (1)</b> 26:10 <b>Thanks (1)</b> 16:15 <b>That'd (1)</b> 47:16 <b>there'd (1)</b> 34:19 <b>therefore (3)</b> 7:13;8:1;21:3 <b>There'll (1)</b> 33:13 <b>third (1)</b> 44:15 <b>though (1)</b> 14:13 <b>thought (5)</b> 8:22;9:7;18:23; 28:18;41:1 <b>threatening (1)</b> 28:25 <b>three (3)</b> 28:6,10;40:11 <b>threshold (1)</b> 20:9 <b>threw (1)</b> 14:16 <b>times (1)</b> 40:11 <b>timetable (1)</b> 35:20 <b>today (1)</b> 41:1 <b>today's (1)</b> 33:25 <b>together (1)</b> 13:17 <b>told (2)</b> 33:17;39:21 <b>tomorrow (1)</b> 28:6 <b>total (3)</b> 15:15,17,19	<b>totals (1)</b> 16:8 <b>touches (1)</b> 10:25 <b>transcript (4)</b> 31:16;37:22;39:7; 49:13 <b>trial (1)</b> 34:3 <b>tried (3)</b> 9:16;23:5,8 <b>truly (1)</b> 19:10 <b>trust (8)</b> 16:3,6;17:19;46:7, 8,10;47:24;49:12 <b>Trustee (10)</b> 4:3;6:11;9:8; 12:20;23:11;32:11; 45:5,8;46:9,9 <b>trustees (33)</b> 9:3,22;10:1;12:6; 13:15;14:1,10; 17:14;19:19;20:4; 21:6,15;22:6;24:7, 10,14,24;25:13,22; 30:13,22;31:24; 32:18,23;33:21; 40:16;43:5;46:13; 47:11;48:2;49:12,14, 18 <b>trustees' (5)</b> 22:21;26:23,25; 29:12,25 <b>trustee's (3)</b> 14:6,12;19:2 <b>trusts (11)</b> 15:3,16,19;16:6, 13;19:15;28:19,21; 30:7;46:24;47:9 <b>try (6)</b> 8:6;10:3;35:19; 37:3;43:15;46:17 <b>trying (5)</b> 15:23;25:21; 35:17;39:6;40:15 <b>Tuesday (1)</b> 32:20 <b>turn (4)</b> 13:15;14:1;34:2; 41:19 <b>twice (2)</b> 40:9;45:15 <b>two (8)</b> 16:23;18:16;20:1; 34:11;37:8;38:3; 44:4;49:2	23:9 <b>under (4)</b> 16:7;26:7;34:14, 16 <b>underlying (1)</b> 12:6 <b>understood (3)</b> 41:9,10;43:17 <b>unfair (1)</b> 28:12 <b>UNIDENTIFIED (3)</b> 16:12;48:16;50:21 <b>unique (1)</b> 33:14 <b>unless (2)</b> 25:14;43:1 <b>up (14)</b> 7:7;9:2,9;10:11; 15:21;20:3;32:22; 36:24;40:1;43:6,18; 44:10;47:5;50:22 <b>upcoming (1)</b> 16:24 <b>upon (2)</b> 7:25;17:15 <b>USA (2)</b> 4:3;47:7 <b>used (3)</b> 9:25;39:24;45:5
<b>V</b>				
<b>various (2)</b> 33:9,9 <b>vastly (1)</b> 28:1 <b>vehicle (1)</b> 12:15 <b>videoconference (2)</b> 44:2,5 <b>view (4)</b> 8:16;13:4;14:3; 33:5 <b>violated (1)</b> 25:18				
<b>W</b>				
<b>wait (4)</b> 16:9;35:14,21; 48:1 <b>walked (1)</b> 13:16 <b>wants (5)</b> 17:12;27:24; 36:11,14;50:2 <b>war (2)</b> 27:7;32:10 <b>way (1)</b> 8:25 <b>Wednesday (1)</b> 32:21 <b>week (7)</b>				
<b>T</b>		<b>U</b>		
<b>tailed (1)</b> 43:9		<b>ultimately (2)</b> 26:11;50:5 <b>unable (1)</b>		

18:18,20;32:20, 21:40;7:41:12,18 <b>weekend (2)</b> 21:18;44:17 <b>weeks (1)</b> 7:11 <b>week's (1)</b> 41:20 <b>WEITNAUER (7)</b> 5:16;15:9,25,25; 16:3,5,9 <b>welcome (1)</b> 14:14 <b>Wells (4)</b> 5:11;14:9;15:8; 47:25 <b>weren't (1)</b> 44:16 <b>West (1)</b> 5:12 <b>what's (5)</b> 13:3;15:15;16:8; 17:6;37:17 <b>Whereupon (1)</b> 51:3 <b>Whoever's (1)</b> 42:12 <b>whole (1)</b> 29:14 <b>who's (1)</b> 43:23 <b>whose (1)</b> 26:22 <b>willing (3)</b> 9:3,4;36:14 <b>WILLKIE (4)</b> 4:10;21:22;44:1; 49:5 <b>wish (5)</b> 39:25;41:15; 43:18;48:7;50:17 <b>withdraw (1)</b> 33:9 <b>within (1)</b> 18:20 <b>without (2)</b> 27:19;36:3 <b>witnesses (1)</b> 19:2 <b>words (2)</b> 31:6;38:11 <b>work (12)</b> 40:8;41:3,6;43:15, 16;45:12,14;47:2; 48:14,16,17,21 <b>worked (1)</b> 50:24 <b>works (1)</b> 43:24 <b>wrapped (8)</b> 15:3,5;30:8;46:9, 24;47:8,24;49:12 <b>wrong (2)</b>	18:15,17  <b>Y</b>  <b>year (1)</b> 43:24 <b>Yesterday (2)</b> 9:19;19:1 <b>York (8)</b> 4:5,14;5:5,23;6:5, 13;8:2;44:7  <b>1</b>  <b>10 (1)</b> 43:19 <b>10:03 (1)</b> 51:3 <b>10004 (1)</b> 6:13 <b>10017 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>10019 (2)</b> 4:14;6:5 <b>10020 (1)</b> 4:5 <b>101 (1)</b> 5:22 <b>10178 (1)</b> 5:23 <b>11 (1)</b> 23:23 <b>1201 (1)</b> 5:12 <b>12-12020 (1)</b> 7:4 <b>1221 (1)</b> 4:4 <b>1675 (1)</b> 6:4 <b>16th (1)</b> 49:8  <b>2</b>  <b>222 (1)</b> 5:4 <b>252 (1)</b> 26:3 <b>253 (1)</b> 11:13 <b>26 (4)</b> 35:21;42:6,23; 43:7 <b>26th (11)</b> 8:12;18:7;19:14; 25:3;33:1;34:2; 35:11,14;42:14; 43:10;45:18 <b>29th (1)</b> 8:18	  <b>3</b>  <b>30309 (1)</b> 5:14 <b>30b6 (3)</b> 9:24;19:4;28:8 <b>350 (1)</b> 26:6 <b>392 (1)</b> 16:1 <b>3rd (1)</b> 17:24  <b>4</b>  <b>4 (1)</b> 49:8 <b>41st (1)</b> 5:4 <b>4200 (1)</b> 5:13 <b>47 (1)</b> 28:17  <b>5</b>  <b>5 (1)</b> 48:25 <b>500 (3)</b> 15:12;16:11;28:18  <b>6</b>  <b>6 (1)</b> 18:3 <b>6th (2)</b> 8:2;18:8  <b>7</b>  <b>787 (1)</b> 4:13  <b>9</b>  <b>900 (2)</b> 16:13;28:18 <b>9019 (32)</b> 10:18;12:8;13:8, 10;16:25;20:20; 22:17;24:12,18,23; 25:16,19;29:12,24; 30:17;32:8;34:1,24; 35:9,17,23;38:24; 39:13;40:15,21; 41:22;42:7;43:6,11; 45:17,18;49:16 <b>9019s (1)</b> 33:19
--	--	--